

## TECHNIQUES ALGORITHMIQUES ET PROGRAMMATION

---

# Supplement on the A\* Algorithm

Recall the A\* algorithm seen in the course :

Algorithm A\* (from course)

**Entrée :** A graph  $G$ , potentially asymmetric, edge-weighted by a non-negative weight function  $\omega$ ,  $s, t \in V(G)$ , and a heuristic  $h(x, t)$  estimating the distance between vertices  $x$  and  $t$  in  $G$ .

**Sortie :** A path between  $s$  and  $t$  in  $G$ , an error if it was not found.

1. Set  $P := \emptyset$ ,  $Q := \{s\}$ ,  $\text{cost}[s] := 0$ ,  $\text{parent}[s] := \perp$ ,  $\text{score}[s] := \text{cost}[s] + h(s, t)$
2. While  $Q \neq \emptyset$  :
  - (a) Choose  $u \in Q$  such that  $\text{score}[u]$  is minimum and remove it from  $Q$
  - (b) If  $u = t$ , then return the path from  $s$  to  $t$  using the relation  $\text{parent}[u] : t \rightarrow \text{parent}[t] \rightarrow \text{parent}[\text{parent}[t]] \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow s$
  - (c) Add  $u$  to  $P$
  - (d) For every neighbor  $v \notin P$  of  $u$  :
    - i. Set  $c := \text{cost}[u] + \omega(u, v)$
    - ii. If  $v \notin Q$ , add  $v$  to  $Q$
    - iii. Otherwise, if  $c \geq \text{cost}[v]$  continue the loop
    - iv.  $\text{cost}[v] := c$ ,  $\text{parent}[v] := u$ ,  $\text{score}[v] := c + h(v, t)$
3. Return the error : “the path was not found”

We assume the following property (seen in the course) : if  $h$  is monotonic, then the A\* algorithm calculates a shortest path between  $s$  and  $t$  (if it exists).

**Question 1.** Recall the definitions of monotonicity and distance underestimation for  $h$ .

**Question 2.** Show that if  $h$  is monotonic and  $h(t, t) \leq 0$ , then it underestimates the distance to  $t$ .

**Question 3.** Show that if  $h$  satisfies the triangle inequality and underestimates the distance, then the A\* algorithm correctly calculates a shortest path between  $s$  and  $t$  (if it exists).

**Question 4.** Show that for a cycle, for a certain valuation  $\omega$  and heuristic  $h$ , A\* does not find the shortest path between  $s$  and  $t$ .

The algorithm presented in the course is actually a variant of the original A\* algorithm. In its original version, A\* can modify  $\text{cost}[u]$  for a vertex  $u$  already in  $P$  and put it back into  $Q$ . The analysis of its complexity is then more complex. It can be shown that the original A\* algorithm always calculates a shortest path between  $s$  and  $t$  as long as  $h$  underestimates the distance.

**Question 5.** Construct an example where the A\* algorithm seen in the course does not calculate the shortest path between  $s$  and  $t$  (which exists otherwise) while  $h$  is positive and underestimates the distance.

# Lab Session

Download the files corresponding to the lab session from the course page available below :

<http://dept-info.labri.fr/~gavoille/UE-TAP/>

Complete the file `a_star.c` and modify `mygrid.txt` as you wish. You will need `heap.c`, as well as `tools.h` and `tools.c`. Compile with `make a_star`. In principle, you only need to modify these two files (`a_star.c` and `mygrid.txt`). Correctly test your algorithm by taking relevant examples that differentiate DIJKSTRA from A\* (with the as-the-crow-flies [=vol d'oiseau] heuristic), possibly taking examples that make A\* fail (for example with cells of type `TX_TUNNEL` with weight  $< 1$ ). Compare performance between the cost of the paths found and the number of visited vertices. Program the proposed improvements.

Switch to 3D (key [d]) and develop a heuristic, say `level(s,t,&G)`, allowing to avoid mountains and/or valleys. For that, use the field `G.height[x][y]` returning the height for the cell  $(x, y)$ , a value in `[G.hmin,G.hmax]`. It can also be combined with the as-the-crow-flies [=vol d'oiseau] heuristic to avoid mountains and valleys while heading toward the destination. Test your heuristic on a virgin terrain to observe the change in behavior. Is it monotonic?

In a second phase, we wish to implement a version of A\* that constructs in parallel a path from  $s$  to  $t$  and a path from  $t$  to  $s$ , `A_star2(G,h)`, very similar to `A_star(G,h)`. Since the difference in code is small, you can even use a single function and add a global variable `version` to specify if `A_star(G,h)` uses the simple version (`version=1`) or the double version (`version=2`).

The idea is to execute A\* from  $s$  and from  $t$ . Let  $P_s$  denote the classic set  $P$  when A\* runs from  $s$ , and  $P_t$  denote the set  $P$  when A\* runs from  $t$ . The heap  $Q$ , on the other hand, is common to both executions. When a node is extracted from the heap, it will have a minimum score either with respect to  $s$  or with respect to  $t$ , and it will then be added either to  $P_s$  or to  $P_t$ .

More precisely :

1. Add a field `int source`; to the `node` structure indicating if a node has been explored from  $s$  (=1 for example) or from  $t$  (=0).
2. Use the `MK_USED2` mark to indicate that the node has been added to  $P_t$ . You will use the old `MK_USED` mark to indicate that it is in  $P_s$ .
3. When you add a node to  $Q$ , be careful to mark the corresponding vertex as `MK_FRONT` only if it is not already marked, which is now possible because of the two sets  $P_s$  and  $P_t$ , and their marking.

The algorithm stops when a node extracted from the heap  $Q$  corresponds to a vertex already marked but by another origin. This happens, for example, if the origin of node  $u$  is  $s$  (`u->source=1`) while the mark of the vertex it represents is `MK_USED2` (thus it is in  $P_t$ ). To reconstruct the full path, you can look for a node in the heap whose father  $v$  is a node corresponding to the same vertex as  $u$  but coming from the other origin.