



Build Debian/Ubuntu packages to make it easy for users to install your software

Samuel Thibault

2016 November 8th



Outline

- Why making packages?
- How about Debian
- Introduction to distribution & packages
- Introduction to making a package
- Introduction to distributing a package



Why making packages?

`./configure && make && make install`

- Install where?
- Manage upgrades
- Missing dependencies
- Make sure dependencies kept installed



How about Debian?

One of the main GNU/Linux distributions

- Started in 1993
- Community-based
- Ported to a dozen architectures
- Basically includes most available free software
- Many many many derivatives
 - More than 300, 120 of which still active
 - Ubuntu, notably
- ~1000 developers
 - And many many more maintainers



How about Debian?

Social Contract

- Between developers and users
- Basically
 - “Debian will always be free”
 - “We won’t hide problems”
 - Everything is public, except debian-private
 - “Users are our priority”
 - “There is non-free software out there”
 - “non-free” and “contrib” sections



What is a distribution?

From source to installed system

- Gather coherent set of source code
 - Linux kernel (or others)
 - Libc
 - System tools
 - Libraries
 - Applications
 - Desktop environments
- Compile everything
 - “binary Packages”



What is a distribution?

- Archive
 - http mirrors
- Installer
 - Unpacks basic system
 - Then make it install binary packages
- Package manager
 - To install more binary packages

And that's about it!



What is a package?

Source vs. binary package

- Source package:
 - “upstream” source code (as a tarball)
 - debian/ directory (as another tarball, or patch)
 - Debian meta-data
 - some patches against upstream source code

...build...

- Binary package(s):
 - Binaries + meta-data



Put into practice

```
€ apt-get source hello
```

```
€ ls hello*
```

```
hello_2.10-1.dsc
```

```
hello_2.10.orig.tar.gz
```

```
hello_2.10-1.debian.tar.xz
```

```
hello-2.10/
```

```
€ cd hello-2.10
```

```
€ ls
```

```
INSTALL README TODO Makefile.am Makefile.in
```

```
debian/
```

```
lib/ man/ po/ src/ tests/
```



Put into practice

```
€ sudo apt-get build-dep hello
```

```
€ dpkg-buildpackage
```

```
...
```

```
€ cd ..
```

```
€ ls
```

```
...
```

```
hello_2.10-1_amd64.changes
```

```
hello_2.10-1_amd64.deb
```

```
€ sudo apt-get install ./hello_*.deb
```



What is a source package?

The source is all the source, only the source

- No pre-built stuff
 - or regenerate it during the build
- No embedded copies of other software

Best guarantee for user to have free software

- Able to rebuild it all
- Able to modify all of it
- Make sure to modify a library



What is a source package?

Source meta-data

- Potentially very large information
- Basically
 - debian/copyright: document licences
 - debian/control: package name, dependencies
 - debian/rules: how to build the package
 - debian/changelog: as name suggests



Put into practice

Minimal debian/control

Source: hello

Build-Depends: debhelper (>= 10)

Package: hello

Architecture: any

Description: hello

This is just a test package



Put into practice

Minimal debian/rules

```
#!/usr/bin/make -f
```

```
%:
```

```
dh $@
```

Thanks to debian/compat containing

10



Put into practice

Minimal debian/changelog

```
hello (2.10-1) sid; urgency=low
```

```
* Initial packaging.
```

```
-- Samuel Thibault <sthibault@debian.org> Tue, 08 Nov  
2016 14:00:00 +0100
```



Package build

Several steps done by dpkg-buildpackage

- debian/rules clean
 - dh_auto_clean
 - make clean
- debian/rules build
 - dh_auto_build
 - configure && make && make check
- debian/rules binary
 - dh_auto_install
 - make install
 - dh_install
 - dh_builddeb



What is dh_install?

Simple case: one binary package

- make install into debian/hello
- dh_install basically no-op, dh_builddeb packs

Several binary packages

- make install into debian/tmp
- dh_install moves files to debian/hello-foo and debian/hello-bar
- dh_builddeb packs hello-foo and hello-bar



What is dh_install?

Library example

```
debian/libhello1.install:
```

```
  /usr/lib/*/lib*.so.*
```

```
debian/libhello-dev.install:
```

```
  /usr/include
```

```
  /usr/lib/*/lib*.a
```

```
  /usr/lib/*/lib*.so
```

```
  /usr/lib/*/pkgconfig/*
```



Why two packages for a lib?

Basically, room

- One usually don't need -dev for all installed libs
- And even less -doc
- Saves
 - Disk
 - Network bandwidth on upgrade
 - Directory indexing



Dependencies

libfoo1 vs libfoo-dev

Say my hello uses libfoo

- Needs libfoo-dev at build time
 - apt-get build-dep hello
- Needs libfoo1 at run time
 - User shouldn't have to care about it



Put into practice

More involved debian/control

Source: hello

Build-Depends: debhelper (>= 10), libfoo-dev, libbar-dev

Package: hello

Architecture: any

Depends: \${shlibs:Depends}

Description: hello

This is just a test package

dh_shlibdeps step will compute Depends



Architecture: any?

Arch-dependent vs Arch-independent

- Does it depend on arch?
 - Processor instruction set
 - 32bit vs 64bit
 - Little vs big endian
 - Arch-dependent: `libfoo_1.0-1_amd64.deb`
- Otherwise, arch-independent
 - Architecture: all packages
 - e.g. `libfoo-data_1.0-1_all.deb`



Architecture: all packages?

- Data
- Documentation
- Non-compiled languages
 - Python
 - Perl
 - TeX

No need to rebuild them for each arch

One copy on mirrors



Summing it up

My program

- One source package: foo
- One binary package: foo

My library

- One source package: libfoo
- E.g. three binary packages:
 - libfoo1 (arch:any)
 - libfoo-dev (arch:any)
 - libfoo-doc (arch:all)



Making it simple

```
€ apt-get install dh-make
```

```
€ dh_make
```

```
Type of package: (single, indep, library, python) [silp]?
```

```
€ $EDITOR debian/control debian/copyright
```

```
€ rm debian/*.ex
```

```
€ dpkg-buildpackage
```

Will just work fine if your upstream is nice
(automake, cmake, ...)



A bit less simple

I lied, doesn't work with hello source

- Because odd GNUmakefile lingering there
- dh_auto_clean thinks it can run make clean, fails

```
override_dh_auto_clean:
```

```
    [ ! -f Makefile ] || $(MAKE) distclean
```

```
override_dh_installdocs:
```

```
    dh_installdocs NEWS
```



More information

- Debian Packaging Tutorial
- <http://www.debian.org/devel/>
- Debian New Maintainer's Guide
- Debian Developer's Reference
- Debian Policy



Yay, my package is ready!

Check its soundness

```
€ lintian hello_1.0-1_amd64.changes
```

Now let's publish it

- My own website
- Debian/Ubuntu

What to publish?

- Source packages
- Binary packages
 - For various distribs



Why various binary packages

- Library versions
 - Debian 8 contains libicu52
 - Debian 9 contains libicu57, not libicu52
 - No compatibility
 - Packages using libicu need a rebuild
- Must not be blindly overridden
 - There be dragons!



Building for various distribs

```
€ apt-get install pbuilder
```

```
€ sudo pbuilder create --basetgz $HOME/base-jessie.tgz  
  --distribution jessie  
  --mirror http://ftp.fr.debian.org/debian
```

```
€ cd ~/hello-2.10
```

```
€ pdebuild - - --basetgz $HOME/base-jessie.tgz
```

```
€ cd /var/cache/pbuilder/result/
```

Also useful for checking missing Build-Depends



For each distrib

```
€ mkdir jessie
```

```
€ cd jessie
```

```
€ mv /var/cache/pbuilder/result/*2.10* .
```

```
€ dpkg-scanpackages . . | tee Packages | bzip2
```

```
> Packages.bz2
```

In sources.list:

```
deb http://my.website/jessie ./
```



Pushing to Debian

And thus all its derivatives

- Needs review & approval of course
- And actually uploaded at some point
 - Signed with gpg key

Debian Developers (DD)

- Can upload anything

Debian Maintainers (DM)

- Can upload the packages they are allowed to



Pushing to Debian

Becoming a DD

- Prove technical skills and knowledge of Debian
- Long work

Becoming a DM

- Get some DD sign your gpg key and advocate you
- Get some DD to allow you to upload
- After mentoring for some time, usually

Get sponsored

- Get some DD or DM to do the upload



Pushing to Debian

Any DD out there?

- Brice Goglin and I
- Emmanuel Bouthenot, Rémi Vanicat in Bordeaux too, possibly others
- Some SED people?

Way better if **you** maintain your package :)

Also debian-mentors for help



Cheatlist

```
€ debcheckout hello
```

```
€ dgit clone hello
```

```
€ dget http://www.foo.org/foo.dsc
```

```
€ dpkg-source -x foo.dsc
```

```
€ dpkg-checkbuilddeps
```

```
€ mk-build-deps -s sudo -r -i
```

```
€ debdiff hello_1.0-{1,2}_amd64.changes
```

```
€ rmadison libicu52
```