# Sequences of level $1, 2, 3, \ldots, k, \ldots$

## Géraud Sénizergues

#### LaBRI and University of Bordeaux 1

URL:http://dept-info.labri.u-bordeaux.fr/~ges

## **O-SUBJECT**

What is the subject of this talk? Current work about:

> Pushdown Automata of Level k ( $k \ge 0$ ). and their links with Recurrent sequences of integers/words.

## **O-SUBJECT**

What are the results of this talk?

#### Theorem

Let us consider a mapping  $f : A^* \to B^*$ . The following properties are equivalent:

1- f is computed by some pushdown automaton of level  $k \ge 2$ .

2- f is a composition of k - 1 HDTOL sequences

 $g_1: A^* \to C_1^*, \dots, g_i: C_{i-1}^* \to C_i^*, \dots, g_{k-1}: C_{k-2}^* \to B^*.$ Moreover the  $g_1, \dots, g_{k-2}$  can be chosen to be DTOL's.

## **O-SUBJECT**

What are the results of this talk?

#### Corollary 1

Let us consider a mapping  $f : A^* \to \mathbb{N}$ . The following properties are equivalent:

1- f is computed by some pushdown automaton of level 3. 2- f is composition of a DTOL sequence  $g : A^* \to C^*$  by a rational series  $h : C^* \to \mathbb{N}$ .

3- There exists a finite family  $(f_i)_{i \in [1,n]}$  of mappings  $A^* \to \mathbb{N}$  fulfilling a system of polynomial recurrent relations and such that  $f = f_1$ .

#### **Corollary 2**

The equality problem is decidable for sequences of rational numbers computed by pushdown automata of level 3.

## PLAN

#### **O SUBJECT**

#### **1 INTRODUCTION**

- 1.1 Automata
- 1.2 Recurrences
- 1.3 Problems
- 2 CLOSURE PROPERTIES
  - 2.1 Recurrences
  - 2.2 Composition

#### **3 DECOMPOSITION PROPERTIES**

- 3.1 Level 1
- 3.2 Level 2
- 3.3 Level 3
- 3.4 Level  $k \ge 4$

#### **4 PERSPECTIVES**

- 4.1 Automata
- 4.2 Recurrences

Some references on pushdown automata of level k: Early works: (A. Aho JACM 68), (S. Greibach 70), (T. Ayashi 73), (A. Maslov 74) Complexity, hierarchy results: (W. Damm 82), (J. Engelfriet and E.M. Schmidt 77) Muchnik's theorem: (Semenov 84), (Muchnik and Semenov 92), (Walukiewicz 02), (D. Berwanger and A. Blumensath 02) Logical properties of k-dpda: (D. Caucal 02), (T. Knapik and D. Niwinski and P. Urzyczyn 02), (A. Carayol and S. Wöhrle 03), Links with sequences: (L. Lisovik and T. Karnaukh 03),(S. Fratani and G. Sénizergues 06), (N. Marin 07).

**Definition 1 (**k**-iterated pushdown store)** Let  $\Gamma$  be a set. We define inductively the set of k-iterated pushdown-stores over  $\Gamma$  by:

 $0-\mathrm{pds}(\Gamma) = \{\varepsilon\} \ (k+1)-\mathrm{pds}(\Gamma) = (\Gamma[k-\mathrm{pds}(\Gamma)])^* \ \mathrm{it}-\mathrm{pds}(\Gamma) = \bigcup_{k\geq 0} k-\mathrm{pds}(\Gamma).$ 

The elementary operations that a k-pda can perform are: - pop of level j (where  $1 \le j \le k$ ), which consists of popping the leftmost letter of level j and all the structure which is "above" this letter

- push of level j (where  $1 \le j \le k$ ), which consists of pushing a new letter C (or word CC') in place of the leftmost letter D of level j and copying above this new letter C (or letters C, C'), all the structure which was "above" the letter D.

Examples:

 $\omega := S_1[T_1[a_1a_2a_3]T_2[a_2a_1]]S_2[T_2[a_3]T_2[a_2a_1]] \stackrel{\text{push}_1(SS')}{\mapsto} \\ S[T_1[a_1a_2a_3]T_2[a_2a_1]]S'[T_1[a_1a_2a_3]T_2[a_2a_1]]S_2[T_2[a_3]T_2[a_2a_1]].$ 

 $S_{1}[T_{1}[a_{1}a_{2}a_{3}]T_{2}[a_{2}a_{1}]]S_{2}[T_{2}[a_{3}]T_{2}[a_{2}a_{1}]] \stackrel{\text{push}_{2}(TT')}{\mapsto} \\S_{1}[T_{1}[a_{1}a_{2}a_{3}]T'[a_{1}a_{2}a_{3}]T_{2}[a_{2}a_{1}]]S'[T_{1}[a_{1}a_{2}a_{3}]T_{2}[a_{2}a_{1}]]S_{2}[T_{2}[a_{3}]T_{2}[a_{2}a_{1}]].$ 

 $S_{1}[T_{1}[a_{1}a_{2}a_{3}]T_{2}[a_{2}a_{1}]]S_{2}[T_{2}[a_{3}]T_{2}[a_{2}a_{1}]] \stackrel{\text{push}_{3}(aa')}{\mapsto} \\S_{1}[T_{1}[aa'a_{2}a_{3}]T_{2}[a_{2}a_{1}]]S_{2}[T_{2}[a_{3}]T_{2}[a_{2}a_{1}]]$ 

 $S_{1}[T_{1}[a_{1}a_{2}a_{3}]T_{2}[a_{2}a_{1}]]S_{2}[T_{2}[a_{3}]T_{2}[a_{2}a_{1}]] \stackrel{\text{pop}_{1}}{\mapsto} S_{2}[T_{2}[a_{3}]T_{2}[a_{2}a_{1}]].$ 

 $S_1[T_1[a_1a_2a_3]T_2[a_2a_1]]S_2[T_2[a_3]T_2[a_2a_1]] \stackrel{\text{pop}_2}{\mapsto} S_1[T_2[a_2a_1]]S_2[T_2[a_3]T_2[a_2a_1]].$ 

 $S_{1}[T_{1}[a_{1}a_{2}a_{3}]T_{2}[a_{2}a_{1}]]S_{2}[T_{2}[a_{3}]T_{2}[a_{2}a_{1}]] \stackrel{\text{pop}_{3}}{\mapsto} S_{1}[T_{1}[a_{2}a_{3}]T_{2}[a_{2}a_{1}]]S_{2}[T_{2}[a_{3}]T_{2}[a_{2}a_{1}]]$ 

**Definition 2 (**k-pdas**)** Let  $k \ge 1$ , let  $POP = \{pop_j | j \in [k]\},$   $PUSH(\Gamma) = \{push_j(\gamma) | \gamma \in \Gamma^+, j \in [k]\},$  and  $TOPSYMS(\Gamma) = \Gamma^{\leq k} - \{\varepsilon\}.$ 

A *k*-iterated pushdown automaton over a terminal alphabet B is a 6-tuple  $\mathcal{A} = (Q, B, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, Z_0)$  where

- Q is a finite set of states,  $q_0 \in Q$  denoting the initial state,
- $\Gamma$  is a finite set of pushdown-symbols,  $Z_0 \in \Gamma$  denoting the initial symbol,
- the transition function  $\delta$  is a map from  $Q \times (B \cup \{\varepsilon\}) \times TOPSYMS(\Gamma)$  into the set of finite subsets of  $Q \times (PUSH(\Gamma) \cup POP)$ .

The automaton  $\mathcal{A}$  is said *deterministic* iff, for every  $q \in Q, \gamma \in \Gamma^{\leq k} - \{\varepsilon\}, b \in B$ 

$$\operatorname{Card}(\delta(q,\varepsilon,\gamma)) \leq 1 \text{ and } \operatorname{Card}(\delta(q,b,\gamma)) \leq 1,$$
 (1)

$$\operatorname{Card}(\delta(q,\varepsilon,\gamma)) = 1 \Rightarrow \operatorname{Card}(\delta(q,b,\gamma)) = 0.$$
 (2)

 $\mathcal{A} \text{ is called strongly deterministic iff, for every } q \in Q, \gamma \in \Gamma^{\leq k} - \{\varepsilon\}$ 

$$\sum_{\bar{b} \in \{\varepsilon\} \cup B} \operatorname{Card}(\delta(q, \bar{b}, \gamma)) \le 1$$
(3)

**Definition 3 (**k**-computable mapping)** A mapping  $f: A^* \mapsto B^*$  is called k-computable iff there exists a strongly deterministic k-pda  $\mathcal{A}$ , over a pushdown-alphabet  $\Gamma$ , such that  $\Gamma$  contains k - 1 symbols  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_{k-1}$ , the alphabet A is a subset of  $\Gamma_k$  and for all  $w \in A^*$ :

$$(q_0, f(w), \gamma_1[\gamma_2 \dots [\gamma_{k-1}[w]] \dots]) \vdash^*_{\mathcal{A}} (q_0, \varepsilon, \varepsilon).$$

One denotes by  $S_k(A^*, B^*)$  the set of k-computable mappings from  $A^*$  to  $B^*$ .

The particular case where Card(A) = Card(B) = 1 was studied in (Fratani-Senizergues 2006).

**Example 4** Fibonnaci sequence. F(n+2) = F(n+1) + F(n), F(1) = F(0) = 1.Suppose A fulfils the rules:

$$S_1[\varepsilon] \to_{\mathcal{A}} b; \quad S_2[\varepsilon] \to_{\mathcal{A}} \varepsilon;$$
$$S_1[F\Omega] \to_{\mathcal{A}} S_1[\Omega] S_2[\Omega]; \quad S_2[F\Omega] \to_{\mathcal{A}} S_1[\Omega].$$

Then we have

$$S_1[\varepsilon] \to_{\mathcal{A}} b = b^{F_0}.$$
$$S_1[F] \to_{\mathcal{A}} S_1[\varepsilon] S_2[\varepsilon] \to_{\mathcal{A}}^2 b\varepsilon = b^{F_1}.$$

$$S_1[F^{n+2}] \rightarrow_{\mathcal{A}} S_1[F^{n+1}]S_2[F^{n+1}]$$
$$\rightarrow_{\mathcal{A}} S_1[F^{n+1}]S_1[F^n]$$
$$\rightarrow_{\mathcal{A}}^* b^{F_{n+1}}b^{F_n}$$
$$= b^{F_{n+2}}.$$

**Example 5** Factorial sequence.  $u(n+1) = (n+1) \cdot u(n), \ u(0) = 1.$  Suppose A fulfils the rules:

 $\bar{S}[\varepsilon] \to_{\mathcal{A}} b; \quad S[T_1[\varepsilon]\Omega] \to_{\mathcal{A}} \bar{S}[\Omega]; \quad S[T_2[\varepsilon]\Omega] \to_{\mathcal{A}} \varepsilon$  $S[T_2[F\Omega']\Omega] \to_{\mathcal{A}} S[\Omega]S[T_2[\Omega']\Omega]; \quad S[T_1[F\Omega']\Omega] \to_{\mathcal{A}} S[T_2[F\Omega']T_1[\Omega']\Omega]$ 

Then we have

$$S[T_1[\varepsilon]] \rightarrow^2_{\mathcal{A}} b = b^{u(0)}.$$
  

$$S[T_2[F^n]\Omega] \rightarrow^*_{\mathcal{A}} (S[\Omega])^n$$
  

$$S[T_1[F^{n+1}]] \rightarrow^*_{\mathcal{A}} S[T_2[F^{n+1}]T_1[F^n]]$$
  

$$\rightarrow^*_{\mathcal{A}} (S[T_1[F^n]])^{n+1}$$
  

$$\rightarrow^*_{\mathcal{A}} (b^{u(n)})^{n+1}$$
  

$$= b^{u(n+1)}.$$

Some references on recurrent sequences of integers/words: Linear recurrences: (J. Berstel and C. Reutenauer 88) P-recurrences: (R.P. Stanley 80), (M. Petkovšek, H.S. Wilf and D. Zeilberger 96)

Sequences and finite automata: (J.P. Allouche and J. Shallit 03)

L-systems: (L. Kari and G. Rozenberg and A. Salomaa 97)

#### **Definition 6 (N-rational formal power series)** A mapping

 $f: A^* \to \mathbb{N}$  is  $\mathbb{N}$ -rational iff there is an homomorphism  $M: A^* \to \mathbb{N}^{d \times d}$  and two vectors L in  $\mathbb{B}^{1 \times d}$  and T in  $\mathbb{B}^{d \times 1}$  such that, for every  $w \in A^*$ 

 $f(w) = L \cdot M(w) \cdot T.$ 

**Definition 7 (Polynomial recurrent relations)** Given a finite index set I = [1, n] and a family of mappings  $f_i : A^* \to \mathbb{N}$  (for  $i \in I$ ), we call system of polynomial recurrent relations a system of the form

 $f_i(aw) = P_{i,a}(f_1(w), f_2(w), \dots, f_n(w))$  for all  $i \in I, a \in A, w \in A^*$ 

where  $P_i \in \mathbb{N}[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]$ .

**Definition 8 (catenative recurrent relations)** Given a finite set I and a family of mappings indexed by  $I, f_i : A^* \to B^*$  (for  $i \in I$ ), we call system of catenative recurrent relations over the family  $(f_i)_{i \in I}$  a system of the form

$$f_i(aw) = \prod_{j=1}^{\ell(i,a)} f_{\alpha(i,a,j)}(w) \text{ for all } i \in I, a \in A, w \in A^*$$

where  $\ell(a,i) \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha(i,a,j) \in I$ .

When Card(B) = 1,  $f : A^* \to B^*$  fulfils a system of catenative recurrent relations iff f is a rational series.

**Definition 9 (recurrent relations in** M) Given a finite set I and a family of mappings indexed by I,  $f_i : A^* \to M$  (for  $i \in I$ ), we call system of recurrent relations in M over the family  $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ , a system of the form

$$f_i(aw) = \prod_{j=1}^{\ell(i,a)} f_{\alpha(i,a,j)}(w) \text{ for all } i \in I, a \in A, w \in A^*$$

where  $\ell(a, i) \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\alpha(i, a, j) \in I$  and the symbol  $\prod$  stands for the extension of the binary product in M to an arbitrary finite number of arguments.

The monoid  $(Hom(C^*, C^*), \circ, Id)$  will be of particular interest for studying mappings of level  $k \ge 3$ .

The following notion turns out to be crucial for describing all *k*-computable mappings as compositions of simpler mappings.

**Definition 10 (Kari-Rozenberg-Salomaa 1997)** Let  $f: A^* \to B^*$ . The mapping f is called a HDTOL sequence iff there exists a finite alphabet C, a homomorphism  $H: A^* \to Hom(C^*, C^*)$ , an homomorphism  $h \in Hom(C^*, B^*)$ and a letter  $c \in C$  such that, for every  $w \in A^*$ 

$$f(w) = h(H^w(c)).$$

(here we denote by  $H^w$  the image of w by H).

## **1.3-INTRODUCTION- PROBLEMS**

Problem 1: Find characterisations of k-computable sequences.
Problem 2: Solve the equality problem for k-computable sequences. i.e. the following decision problem:

INPUT: two s-deterministic k-automata  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ . QUESTION: Do these automata compute the same mapping  $A^* \to B^*$  ?

## 2.1-CLOSURE PROPERTIES-RECURRENCES

#### Theorem 11 (Fratani-Sénizergues 06)

0- For every  $f \in S_{k+1}$ ,  $k \ge 1$ , and every integer  $c \in \mathbb{N}$ , the sequences Ef (the shift of f),  $f + \frac{c}{1-X}$ , belong to  $S_{k+1}$ ; if  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f(n) \ge c$  then  $f - \frac{c}{1-X}$  belongs to  $S_{k+1}$ ; the sequence defined by  $0 \mapsto c, n+1 \mapsto f(n)$  belongs to  $S_{k+1}$ . 1- For every  $f, g \in S_{k+1}$ ,  $k \ge 1$ , the sequence f + g belongs to  $S_{k+1}$ .

2- For every  $f, g \in S_{k+1}$ ,  $k \ge 2$ ,  $f \odot g$  (the ordinary product), belongs to  $S_{k+1}$  and for every  $f' \in S_{k+2}$ ,  $f'^g$  belong to  $S_{k+2}$ . 3- For every  $f \in S_{k+1}$ ,  $g \in S_k$ ,  $k \ge 2$ ,  $f \times g$  (the convolution product) belongs to  $S_{k+1}$ .

4- For every  $g \in S_k$ ,  $k \ge 2$ , the sequence f defined by: f(0) = 1and  $f(n+1) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} f(m) \cdot g(n-m)$  (the convolution inverse of  $1 - X \times f$ ) belongs to  $S_{k+1}$ . 5- For every  $k \ge 2$  and for every system of recurrent equations expressed by polynomials in  $\mathbb{S}_{k+1}[X_1, \ldots, X_p]$ , with initial conditions in  $\mathbb{N}$ , every solution belongs to  $\mathbb{S}_{k+1}$ .

#### 2.2-CLOSURE PROPERTIES-COMPOSITION

#### Theorem 12

For every  $f \in S_k(A^*, B^*)g \in S_\ell(B^*, C^*)$ ,  $k, l \ge 2$ ,  $f \circ g$  (the sequence composition ) belongs to  $S_{k+\ell-1}(A^*, C^*)$ .

Proved in (Fra-Sen06) in the case where Card(A) = Card(B) = Card(C) = 1. But extension is straighforward.

**Theorem 13** The elements of  $S_1(A^*, B^*)$  are exactly the generalized sequential mappings from  $A^*$  to  $B^*$ .

# **Theorem 14 (N. Marin 2007)** Let us consider a mapping $f: A^* \to B^*$ . The following properties are equivalent: $1 - f \in S_2(A^*, B^*)$ 2- There exists a finite family $(f_i)_{i \in [1,n]}$ of mappings $A^* \to B^*$ which fulfils a system of catenative recurrent relations and such that $f = f_1$ 3- f is a HDTOL sequence.

**Theorem 15** Let us consider a mapping  $f : A^* \to B^*$ . The following properties are equivalent:

 $l\text{-} f \in \mathbb{S}_3(A^*, B^*)$ 

2- f is a composition of a DT0L sequence  $g: A^* \to C^*$  by a HDT0L sequence  $h: C^* \to B^*$ .

**Corollary 16** Let us consider a mapping  $f : A^* \to \mathbb{N}$ . The following properties are equivalent:

 $l\text{-} f \in \mathbb{S}_3(A^*, \mathbb{N})$ 

2- f is composition of a DTOL sequence  $g: A^* \to C^*$  by a rational series  $h: C^* \to \mathbb{N}$ .

3- There exists a finite family  $(f_i)_{i \in [1,n]}$  of mappings  $A^* \to \mathbb{N}$  fulfilling a system of polynomial recurrent relations and such that  $f = f_1$ .

**Definition 17** Let  $\mathbb{S}$  be a set of mappings  $A^* \to \mathbb{N}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S})$  the set of mappings of the form:

$$f(w) = g(w) - h(w) \quad \text{for all } w \in A^*,$$

for some mappings  $g, h \in S$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{F}(S)$  the set of mappings of the form:

$$f(w) = \frac{g(w) - h(w)}{f'(w) - g'(w)}$$
 for all  $w \in A^*$ ,

for some mappings  $f, g, f', g' \in \mathbb{S}$ .

Using point 3 of corollary 16 we can prove the following

**Theorem 18** The equality problem is decidable for sequences in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{S}_3(A^*, \mathbb{N}))$ .

The method consists, in a way similar to (Senizergues, ICALP'99) or (Honkala, 2000), in reducing such an equality problem to deciding whether some polynomial belongs to the ideal generated by a finite set of other polynomials.

Main ideas in the proof of theorem 15. Idea 1: for every  $u \in 2 - pds(\Gamma)$ 

$$\Phi(u): (pSq) \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^{n} (p_i S_i q_i)$$

iff

$$pS[u\Omega]q \to_{\mathcal{A}}^{*} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (p_i S_i[\Omega]q_i).$$

lf :

$$p_i S[v\Omega] q_i \to_{\mathcal{A}}^* \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} (r_{i,j} S'_{i,j}[\Omega] s_{i,j}),$$

then

$$pS[u \cdot v \cdot \Omega]q \to_{\mathcal{A}}^{*} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n_{i}} (r_{i,j}S'_{i,j}[\Omega]s_{i,j}).$$

i.e.

$$\Phi(u \cdot v) = \Phi(u) \circ \Phi(v).$$

Based on this observation: define, for  $w \in \Gamma^*$ ,

$$H_T^w := \Phi(T[w]).$$

Family  $(H_T^w)_{T\in\Gamma}$  should fulfill a compositional recurrence.

push<sub>2</sub>:  $pS[T[w]]q \rightarrow_{\mathcal{A}} p_1S[T_1[w]T_2[w]]q$ 

 $\Phi(T[w])(pSq) = \Phi(T_1[w]T_2[w])(p_1Sq)$ 

 $\Phi(T[w])(pSq) = (\Phi(T_1[w]) \circ \Phi(T_2[w]))(p_1Sq)$ 

i.e.

 $H_T^w(pSq) = (H_{T_1}^w \circ H_{T_2}^w)(pSq)$ push\_1:  $pS[T[w]]q \rightarrow_{\mathcal{A}} p_1S_1[T[w]]r \cdot rS_2[T[w]]q$ 

 $H_T^w(pSq) = H_T^w(p_1S_1r) \cdot H_T^w(rS_2q)$ 

Mixed recurrence rules .

Let us make definition of  $H_T^w$  correct.

Definition 19 (equivalences associated with a k-pda) Let  $\mathcal{A} = (Q, B, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, Z_0)$  be a k-iterated pushdown automaton, with  $k \geq 3$ . We define binary relations  $\equiv_{\mathcal{A},i} by$ : 1- for every  $w, w' \in k - pds(\Gamma)$ ,

$$w \equiv_{\mathcal{A},1} w' \Leftrightarrow (\forall p, q \in Q, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}, pwq) \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}, pw'q) \neq \emptyset)$$

2- for every 
$$w, w' \in (k-1) - pds(\Gamma)$$
,

 $w \equiv_{\mathcal{A},2} w' \Leftrightarrow (\forall S \in \Gamma, \forall v \in (k-1) - \mathrm{pds}(\Gamma), S[w \cdot v] \equiv_{\mathcal{A},1} S[w' \cdot v])$ 

3- for every  $w, w' \in (k-2) - pds(\Gamma)$ ,

$$w \equiv_{\mathcal{A},3} w' \Leftrightarrow (\forall T \in \Gamma, T[w] \equiv_{\mathcal{A},2} T[w'])$$

**Lemma 20** Let  $\mathcal{A} = (Q, B, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, Z_0)$  be a k-iterated pushdown automaton, with  $k \ge 3$ . 1- For every  $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \equiv_{\mathcal{A}, j}$  is a regular equivalence relation. 2- For every  $j \in \{1, 2\}, \equiv_{\mathcal{A}, j}$  is a congruence.

1- Each class is definable by a MSO formula  $\Phi(w)$ , over  $(k - j + 1) - pds(\Gamma)$ . We then use the fact that the definable subsets of  $k - pds(\Gamma)$  are exactly the *k*-recognizable subsets of  $k - pds(\Gamma)$  ((Fratani, PHD, 2005)). 2- Easy.

Correct definition of  $H_t^w$ :

 $\mathcal{W}:=\{(pS[e]q)\mid p,q\in Q,S\in \Gamma_1,e\in 2-\mathrm{pds}(\Gamma)/\equiv_{\mathcal{A},2}\}.$  If W=(pS[e]q) and

$$(pS[T[w]\Omega]q) \to_{\mathcal{A}}^{+} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell(i,W)} p_{i,j}S_{i,j}[\Omega]q_{i,j}$$
(4)

and

$$\forall j \in [1, \ell(i, W)], \forall t \in e \ \operatorname{L}(p_{i,j} S_{i,j}[t] q_{i,j}) \neq \emptyset$$
(5)

then

$$(pS[e]q) \mapsto \prod_{j=1}^{\ell(i,W)} p_{i,j} S_{i,j}[e]q_{i,j}.$$
(6)

otherwise

#### $(pS[e]q) \mapsto (pS[e]q).$ (7)

We obtain a recurrence of the form: Let  $T \in \Gamma$ ,  $d \in 1 - pds(\Gamma) / \equiv_3$ ,  $a \in \Gamma$ ,  $w \in 1 - pds(\Gamma)$  and W = pS[e]q). There exists an integer  $\ell(i, a, d, W) \in [1, 2]$  and for every  $j \in [1, \ell(i, a, d, W)]$  there exist indices  $\alpha(i, a, d, W, j), \beta(i, a, d, W, j) \in \Gamma$ , words  $\omega_{i,a,d,W,j} \in \Gamma^{\leq 2}$ , letters  $V_{i,a,d,W,j} \in W$  and an alphabetic homomorphism  $\Phi_{i,a,d,W,j} \in Hom(\mathcal{W}^*, \mathcal{W}^*)$  such that

$$w \in d \Rightarrow H_i^{aw}(W) = \prod_{j=1}^{\ell(i,a,d,W)} H_{\alpha(i,a,d,W,j)}^{\omega_{i,a,d,W,j}\cdot w} \circ \Phi_{i,a,d,W,j} \circ H_{\beta(i,a,d,W,j)}^{\omega_{i,a,d,W,j}\cdot w}(V_{i,a,d,W,j})$$

$$(8)$$

Idea 2: transform this mixed recurrence into a compositional recurrence

Here is a mixed recurrence for f(n) := n!

$$\Phi(n) := \lambda u.(n! \times u); \quad P(n) := \lambda u.(n \times u)$$

$$\Phi(n+1) = P(n) \bullet \Phi(n)$$

$$P(n+1) = P(n) + I$$

$$\Phi(0) = I$$

$$P(0) = Z$$

where  $I := \lambda u.u$  and  $Z := \lambda u.0$ . ( $\Phi, P, I, Z \in HOM(\{F_1\}^*, \{F_1\}^*)$ ).

 $f(n) = \Phi(n)(1).$ 

3.3-DECOMPOSITION PROPERTIES-Level 3 Let  $\mathcal{W} := \{F_1, F_2\}.$ 

 $H: F_1 \mapsto F_1F_2; F_2 \mapsto \varepsilon, \quad \Pi_{2,1}: F_1 \mapsto F_1; F_2 \mapsto F_1$   $\Phi_1(n): F_1 \mapsto F_1^{n!}, F_2 \mapsto F_2; P_1(n):= F_1 \mapsto F_1^n, F_2 \mapsto F_2.$ Here is a compositional recurrence for n!

$$\Phi_1(n+1) = P_1(n) \circ \Phi_1(n)$$

$$P_1(n+1) = \pi_{2,1} \circ P_1(n) \circ H(n)$$

$$\Phi_1(0) = I(n)$$

$$P_1(0) = Z(n)$$

By this kind of trick we obtain a recurrence of the form:

$$\begin{split} w \in d &\Rightarrow \\ H_T^{aw} &= \psi_{i,a,d} \circ \prod_{W \in \mathcal{W}} (\prod_{j=1}^{\ell(i,a,d,W)} \theta_{i,a,d,W,j} \circ H_{\alpha(i,a,d,W,j)}^{\omega_{i,a,d,W,j} \cdot w} \circ \Phi_{i,a,d,W,j} \circ H_{\beta(i,a,d,W,j)}^{\omega_{i,a,d,W,j}} \circ \psi_{i,a,d,W,j} \circ H_{\beta(i,a,d,W,j)}^{\omega_{i,a,d,W,j}} \circ \Phi_{i,a,d,W,j} \circ H_{\beta(i,a,d,W,j)}^{\omega_{i,a,d,W,j}} \circ \psi_{i,a,d} \circ \psi_{i,a,d} \cdot (\prod_{j=1}^{\ell(i,a,d,W)} \theta_{i,a,d,W,j} \circ H_{\beta(i,a,d,W,j)}^{\omega_{i,a,d,W,j} \cdot w} \circ \Phi_{i,a,d,W,j} \circ \Phi_{i,a,$$

Difficult point: is this compositional recurrence terminating ? In fact no. idea 3: new index  $i = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{T})$  where  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ .

$$H_i^w(W) := H_T(W)$$
 if  $W \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $H_i^w(W) := W$  if  $W \notin \mathcal{V}$ .

Termination proof is technical.

Last technical point: eliminate the congruence  $\equiv_{A,3}$  over  $\Gamma^*$ , in the compositional recurrence? Solution: (standard direct) product of  $\mathcal{A}$  (which computes the recurrence) by  $\mathcal{B}$  which computes the finite index congruence. Finally: we have proved that  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ . Converse: follows from composition theorem 12.

Main idea in the proof of corollary 16. Example: u(n) = F(F(n)) $F(n): 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89, 144, 233, 377 \dots$  $u(n): 1, 1, 2, 3, 8, 34, 377, \dots$ 

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}F(n+1)\\F(n)\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc}1&1\\1&0\end{array}\right)^n \cdot \left(\begin{array}{c}1\\1\end{array}\right)$$

so that

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}F(F(n)+1))\\u(n)\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc}1&1\\1&0\end{array}\right)^{F(n)}\cdot\left(\begin{array}{c}1\\1\end{array}\right)$$

Let us set 
$$\begin{pmatrix} e(n) & f(n) \\ g(n) & h(n) \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{F(n)}$$
  
then

$$u(n) = g(n)$$

Since

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array}\right)^{F(n+1)} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array}\right)^{F(n)} \cdot \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array}\right)^{F(n-1)}$$

we obtain the relation:

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} e(n+1) & f(n+1) \\ g(n+1) & h(n+1) \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} e(n) & f(n) \\ g(n) & h(n) \end{array}\right) \cdot \left(\begin{array}{cc} e(n-1) & f(n-1) \\ g(n-1) & h(n-1) \end{array}\right)$$

which gives a polynomial recurrence system defining u(n).

## **3.4-DECOMPOSITION PROPERTIES-LEVEL** $k \ge 4$

**Theorem 21** Let us consider a mapping  $f : A^* \to B^*$ . The following properties are equivalent:  $1 - f \in S_k(A^*, B^*)$ 2- f is a composition of k - 1 HDTOL sequences  $g_1 : A^* \to C_1^*, \dots, g_i : C_{i-1}^* \to C_i^*, \dots, g_{k-1} : C_{k-2}^* \to B^*$ .

Moreover the  $g_1, \ldots, g_{k-2}$  can be chosen to be DTOL's.

## **3.4-DECOMPOSITION PROPERTIES-Level** $k \geq 4$

Main idea in the proof of theorem 21.

**Definition 22 (regular recurrent relations of order** k) Let us consider a monoid  $(M, \cdot, 1)$ , a finite alphabet  $\Gamma$ , a finite set Iand a family of mappings indexed by I,  $f_i : k - pds(\Gamma) \rightarrow M$ (for  $i \in I$ ). We call system of regular recurrent relations of order k in M over the family  $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ , a system of equations of the form:

$$\forall i \in I, c \in k - pds(\Gamma) / \equiv, w \in k - pds(\Gamma),$$
$$(w \neq \varepsilon \& w \in c) \Rightarrow f_i(w) = \prod_{j=1}^{\ell(i,c)} f_{\alpha(i,c,j)}(\omega_{i,c,j}(w))$$
(10)

where  $\ell(i,c) \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\alpha(i,c,j) \in I$ ,  $\omega_{i,c,j} \in PUSH(\Gamma) \cup POP$  and  $\equiv$  is a regular equivalence relation over  $k - pds(\Gamma)$ .

#### **3.4-DECOMPOSITION PROPERTIES-Level** $k \ge 4$

Definition of set of indices I and homomorphisms:

$$H_i^w: \hat{\mathcal{W}}^* \to \hat{\mathcal{W}}^*$$

(as for level 3). The family  $(H_i^w)_{i \in I}$  fulfils a system of regular recurrent relations of order k in  $Hom(\hat{W}^*, \hat{W}^*)$ .

New difficulty: how to eliminate the equivalence  $\equiv_{\mathcal{A},3}$  over  $(k-2) - pds(\Gamma)$ ?

Solution: product of  $\mathcal{A}$  (which computes the recurrence) by  $\mathcal{B}$  which computes the finite index regular equivalence over  $(k-2) - pds(\Gamma)$  (Fratani 2005, PHD).

## 4.1-PERSPECTIVES-AUTOMATA

P1: Study the equivalence problem for deterministic automata of level 2,3.

## 4.2-PERSPECTIVES-RECURRENCES

P2: Study the equivalence problem for strongly deterministic automata of level 3

P3: Study the decision problem: INPUT: one sequence  $u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}_k(A^*, \mathbb{N}))$ . QUESTION: Do there exist a word  $w \in A^*$  such that u(w) = 0? For k = 2, Card(A) = 1, known as the Pisot problem and open. For k = 3, Card(A) > 1, undecidable.

P4: Study the decision problem: INPUT: two sequences  $u, v \in S_k(A^*, \mathbb{N})$ . QUESTION: Are they almost equal ? i.e.  $Card\{w \in A^* \mid u(w) \neq v(w)\} < \infty$ ? For k = 2, Card(A) = 1, easily seen decidable. P5: Is  $\mathbb{S}_3(\mathbb{N},\mathbb{N})$  closed under convolution ? (true for  $\mathbb{S}_2(\mathbb{N},\mathbb{N})$  ).

P6: Study the closure properties of  $S_k(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N})$ ,  $S_k(A^*, \mathbb{N})$ ,  $\mathcal{D}(S_k(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}))$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(S_k(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}))$ , ...