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## Representation of a Graph

adjacency list
matrix


12345


1 node $=1$ pointer in the data-structure (it does not carry any specific information)

## Implicit Representation

To associate with the nodes more information, typically adjacency, and to remove the datastructure.

Interval graphs: $u \mapsto \mathrm{I}(u) \subseteq[1,2 n]$
Edges: $u-v \Leftrightarrow I(u) \cap \mathrm{I}(v) \neq \varnothing$


Compact representation: O(logn) bits/node Possibly time $O(n)$ algorithms vs, $O(n+m)$

## Labelling Schemes

$P=$ a graph property defined on pairs of nodes
$\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{a}$ graph family

A P-labelling scheme for $F$ is a pair $(\lambda, f)$ such that $\forall \mathrm{G} \in \mathrm{F}, \forall u, v \in \mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{G})$ :

- [labelling] $\lambda(u, G)$ is a binary string
- [decoder] $f(\lambda(u, G), \lambda(v, G))=P(u, v, G)$

Goals: to minimize the maximum label size
In this talk: $P(u, v, G)$ is TRUE $\Leftrightarrow u v \in E(G)$

## Basic Example: Trees
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda(u, T)=(u, \text { parent }(u)) \text { or }(u, u) \\
& f(\boldsymbol{u} v, x y)=(v=x \text { or } u=y)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Basic Example: Trees



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda(u, T)=(u, \operatorname{parent}(\boldsymbol{u})) \text { or }(\boldsymbol{u}, u) \\
& \mathbf{f}(\boldsymbol{u} v, \boldsymbol{x} y)=(v=x \text { or } \boldsymbol{u}=y)
\end{aligned}
$$

For trees with $n$ nodes: ~ $2 \log n$ bits/node (the constant does matter [Abiteboul et al. - SICOMP '06])

## Induced-Universal Graphs

 [Babai,Chung,Erdös,Graham,Spencer '82]A graph $U$ is an induced-universal graph for the family $F$ if every graph of $F$ is isomorphic to an induced subgraph of $U$.
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## Induced-Universal Graphs


induced-universal graph $U$
graphs of F
clogn-bit labelling $\Leftrightarrow$ induced-universal graph of $n^{c}$ nodes

## Universal Graphs for Trees (for $n=6$ nodes)

From the ( $u$, parent $(\boldsymbol{u})$ ) labelling
$\Rightarrow$ induced-universal graph of $n^{2}=36$ nodes

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 61 | 62 | 63 | 64 | 65 | 66 | Using DFS for T: $(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\Rightarrow \boldsymbol{u} \geqslant \boldsymbol{v}$ or $\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{v}=1$ |
| 51 | 52 | 53 | 54 | 55 | 56 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 41 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Universal Graphs for Trees (for $n=6$ nodes)

From the ( $u$,parent $(u)$ ) labelling
$\Rightarrow$ induced-universal graph of $n^{2}=36$ nodes


## Universal Graphs for Trees (for $n=6$ nodes)

From the ( $u$, parent $(u)$ ) labelling
$\Rightarrow$ induced-universal graph of $n^{2}=36$ nodes


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Using DFS for T: }(u, v) \\
& \Rightarrow u>v \text { or } u=v=1 \\
& \Rightarrow n(n-1) / 2+1=16 \text { nodes }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Universal Graphs for Trees (universal trees)
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## Labelling Schemes for Planar Graphs

Edge partition: combining schemes


Arboricity- $k$ graphs: $(k+1) \log n$ bits
$\Rightarrow$ Planar $(k=3): 4 \log n$ bits [KNR - STOC' $\left.^{\prime} 88\right]$

## Better Labelling Schemes

For trees: $\log n+O\left(\log ^{*} n\right), \log n+O(1)$
[Alstrup,Rauhe - FOCS'02]
[Alstrup,Dahigaard,B.T.Knudsen - FOCS'15 \& JACḾ17]
$\Rightarrow$ Arboricity-k: klogn $+\mathrm{O}(1)$
$\Rightarrow$ Planar: $3 \log n+\mathrm{O}(1)$
For treewidth-k: $\log n+O(k \log \log n)$
[G.,Labourel - ESA'07]
$\Rightarrow$ Planar \& Minor-free: $2 \log n+O(\log \log n)$

## Bonamy, G., Pilipczuk - SODA'20

For planar \& bounded genus: $4 / 3 \log n+O(\log \log n)$
$\Rightarrow$

## Induced-universal graph of $n^{4 / 3+o(1)}$ nodes for $n$-node planar graphs (and bounded genus graphs)

Labelling the nodes is polynomial Decoding adjacency takes constant time

## Sketch of Proof (1/2)

## Edge partition: $G=S \cup B$ <br> (Strips \& Border)

S: components have $d$ layers $\sim n^{1 / 3}$ B: has treewidth $\leq 5$ and $n / d \sim n^{2 / 3}$ nodes BFS \& shift $\in[1 . . d]$


## Sketch of Proof (1/2)

Edge partition: G = S U B (Strips \& Border)
S: components have $d$ layers $\sim n^{1 / 3}$ B: has treewidth $\leq 5$ and $n / d \sim n^{2 / 3}$ nodes

BFS \& shift $\in[1 . . d]$
dV dV


$$
\Lambda i \Lambda i \Lambda i 91 \quad \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{B}+1}
$$

## Sketch of Proof (2/2)

Labelling for $\mathrm{B}: \log (n / d)$
Labelling for $S: \log n+\log d$ new!
[up to +O(loglogn) terms]
Problem: nodes in $V(B)$ pay both labels
$\Rightarrow \log (n / d)+\log n+\log d=2 \log n$
Improved labeling for $S$ : nodes in V(B) pay only $\log |B|=\log (n / d)$ bits!
$\Rightarrow$ nodes in $S \backslash V(B): \log n+\log d=4 / 3 \log n$
$\Rightarrow$ nodes in $V(B): \log (n / d)+\log (n / d)=4 / 3 \log n$

## Improved Scheme for Treewidth- $k$

$\mathrm{G}=$ treewidth $-k, \mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{G})=\mathrm{V}_{1} \cup \mathrm{~V}_{2}, \mathrm{~K}_{u}=\mathrm{N}[u]=$ simplicial complex of $u_{,}\left|\mathrm{K}_{u}\right| \leq k+1$.

Lemma, G has a scheme providing, for each $u_{\text {, }}$ id $(u)$ and $\lambda(u)$ st. $\forall v \in \mathrm{~K}_{u} \mathrm{id}(v)$ can be extracted from $\lambda(u)$. Moreover, for $u \in V_{i}$

$$
|\lambda(u)|=\log \left|\mathrm{V}_{i}\right|+\mathrm{O}(k \log \log |\mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{G})|) .
$$



$$
u-v \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{id}(v) \in\left\{\mathrm{id}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{u}\right)\right\} \text { or } \mathrm{id}(u) \in\left\{\mathrm{id}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{v}\right)\right\}
$$

## Labelling Scheme for S

Key lemma. [2018,2019] If G is planar, there is a node partition into monotone paths taken from any given BFS such that contracting each one leads to a treewidth-8 graph.


Label $\lambda(u)$ consists of:

- the treewidth-8 scheme
- the depth of $u$ in $S$ (unless $u \in B$ )
- 3 bits/path in the treewidth-8 scheme, i.e., $3 \times 8=24$ extra bits
[Extend to genus-g graphs]


# Improved Bound: Dujmovic, Esperet, G., Joret, Micek, Morin 

Theorem. The family of $n$-vertex subgraphs of H®P has a labelling scheme with $\log n+o(\log n)$ bit labels, where $H$ has bounded treewidth and $P$ is a path.
> $\Rightarrow$ Induced-universal graph of $n^{1+o(1)}$ nodes for $n$-node planar graphs
> (and many other families of graphs)

Labelling the nodes takes $O(n \log n)$ time Decoding adjacency takes $\sim \sqrt{ } / \log n$ time



PB: Need to know position of $v$ in $T_{i+1}$ from position of $v$ in $T_{i} \ldots$

PB:
Need to know position of $v$ in $T_{i+1}$ from position of $v$ in $T_{i} \ldots$

Key obs: $T_{i+1}$ and $T_{i}$ are not indep.
$\Rightarrow$ Given o( $\log n)$ bits we can extract position of $v$ in $T_{i+1}$ given its pos. in $T_{i}$.
Tools: $T_{i} \rightarrow T_{i+1}$

- bulk insertions
- bulk deletions
- rebalancing


## Open Problems

1. Improve the 2 nd order term, $\log n+O(1)$ ?
2. Extend to minor-free graphs
3. Improve to $\log n+\theta(k)$ for treewidth- $k$
4. Prove lower bounds for planar or minor-free

Best lower bound for planar: $\log n+\Omega(1)$ (2)
No families with $n!2^{\circ(n)}$ labelled graphs like trees, planar, bounded genus, bounded treewidth, minor-free (hereditary)... is known to require labels of $\log n+\omega(1)$ bits.


