Laboratoire Bordelais de Recherche en Informatique UMR 5800 - Université Bordeaux I, 351, cours de la Libération, 33405 Talence CEDEX, France

Research Report RR-1268-01

A Note on Implicit Representation of Graphs

by Cyril Gavoille

December, 2001

A Note on Implicit Representation of Graphs

Cyril Gavoille*

Abstract

An implicit representation for a given family of graphs is a node labeling scheme of all the n-node graphs of the family by binary strings of length $O(\log n)$ such that adjacency between any two nodes can be decided from their labels only. In this note, we design a $3\log n$ -bit labeling scheme for planar graphs constructible in O(n) time and with constant time adjacency test, improving by a $\log n$ additive factor the result of Kannan, Naor and Rudich [KNR88]. More generally, we show that bounded k-arboricity graphs support an asymptotic $k \log n$ -bit labeling scheme with O(k) adjacency test. For large k, we construct a $O(k \log(n/k))$ -bit labeling scheme with constant adjacency test, and show that the label length is asymptotically optimal.

Keywords: compact graph representation, implicit representation, partial k-trees, k-decomposable graphs, arboricity, degeneracy

1 Introduction

There are two basic ways of representing an n-node m-edge graph: matrix or edge list representation. The first one uses $O(n^2)$ bits and answers in constant time whether any two nodes are adjacent or not. The list representation uses O(n+m) memory words¹, whereas such a data-structure does not support in the worst-case constant time adjacency queries.

So matrix representation is time-efficient but not space-efficient for sparse graphs, like planar graphs that have at most 3n-6 edges. However, for several graph families, there are ways to compact the representation of a graph. For instance, Chang, Lin and Lu showed in [CLL01] that planar graphs support a 2m+2n+o(n) bits representation with constant time queries. Although this representation is rather compact (this is 8n+o(n) bits for m=3n-o(n) bits), it does not allow label-based graph representation. A label-based representation allows retrieving useful information about arbitrary functions or substructures

^{*}Laboratoire Bordelais de Recherche en Informatique, Université Bordeaux I. gavoille@labri.fr

¹In this paper we assume that in constant time one can compute standard arithmetic operations on words of length $O(\log n)$ bits.

in a graph in a *localized* manner, i.e., using only local pieces of information available to, or associated with, the nodes under inspection, and not having to search for additional global information. It is clearly a desirable property for a graph in the framework of distributing computing where individual processor element of a network want to communicate with its neighbors but has no enough local memory resources to store all the underlying topology of the network (see [GP01] for an overview).

In this spirit, Kannan, Naor, and Rudich [KNR88] have proposed to label each node x of a planar graph by a pair (x, P(x)) where P(x) is a set of at most three neighbors of x such that x and y are adjacent if and only if either $y \in P(x)$ or $x \in P(y)$. The nodes being represented by a unique integer taken from $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, this yields to a representation of every planar graph with local labels of $4 \log n$ bits and supporting constant time adjacency queries. A similar $4 \log n$ -bit labeling scheme has been obtained by Chrobak and Eppstein [EC91]. This naturally leads to the following definition.

Definition 1 A graph family \mathcal{F} has a f(n)-adjacency labeling scheme if there exists a pair (L, A) of functions, called respectively labeling and adjacency decoder, such that for every n-node graph G of \mathcal{F} , and for all nodes x, y of G,

- 1. L(x,G) is a binary string of length at most f(n);
- 2. A(L(x,G),L(y,G))=1 if and only if x and y are adjacent in G.

As defined in [KNR88], a graph family \mathcal{F} has an *implicit representation* if \mathcal{F} has an $O(\log n)$ -adjacency labeling scheme². Several graph families support an implicit representation: some intersection graph families, like interval graphs, paths graphs (intersection of paths in a tree), circle graphs (intersection of chords in a circle), permutation graphs, circular-arc graphs, graphs with bounded interval number ... (see Golumbic for definitions of these families [Gol80]), but also graphs with bounded arboricity [KNR88]. The *arboricity* of a graph G is defined³ to be

$$k = \max_{H} \left\lceil \frac{|E(H)|}{|V(H)| - 1} \right\rceil$$

where H range over all possible induced subgraphs of G containing at least two nodes. Arboricity is related to degeneracy of graphs. A graph is d-degenerated if it has a node of degree at most d whose deletion leave the graph d-degenerated. The degeneracy is the smallest integer d that makes the graph d-degenerated. It is easy to see that every graph of arboricity k and degeneracy d satisfies $d/2 \le k \le d$. Moreover, $k \le \Delta/2 + 1$ if the graph is of maximum degree Δ . Many families of graphs have bounded arboricity: bounded

²In this paper all the logarithms are in based two.

³Usually, there is no "ceiling" in the definition of arboricity. However, by the Nash-Williams' Theorem quoted hereafter, there is no restrictions to define integral arboricity.

degree graphs, bounded genus graphs, bounded tree-width graphs, geometric neighborhood graphs [MTV91], bounded pagenumber graphs, and bounded degeneracy graphs.

The Nash-Williams' Theorem [CMW⁺94] states that the edges of a k-arboricity graph can be decomposed into k forests. Finding a decomposition in exactly k forests is non-trivial. There is an $O(nm \log n)$ algorithm [GW92] using matroid technique, that is an $O(kn^2 \log n)$ time algorithm since the number of edges m is at most k(n-1). A simple O(kn) algorithm exists for decomposition into 2k-1 forests [AMZ97].

In [KNR88] it is shown that graphs of arboricity bounded by k have a $(k+1) \log n$ -adjacency labeling scheme. Combining several recent results we improve in this note implicit representations based on arboricity.

In Section 2 we show that graphs with large arboricity k support a $k \log(n/k)$ -bit labeling scheme with constant time queries, and we show that the bound on the label length is tight. In Section 3 we show a labeling with $k \log n$ -bit labels and supporting O(k) adjacency queries, providing a $3 \log n$ -bit labeling for planar graphs and a $2 \log n$ -bit labeling for series-parallel graphs. Finally, in section 4, we show that k-decomposable graphs (or partial k-trees) have a $k \log n$ -bit labeling scheme and that for this family we prove a $\Omega(k + \log n)$ lower bound on the label length. We leave in Section 5 as an open problem the design of a $3 \log n$ -bit labeling scheme for all genus 1 graphs, i.e., all the graphs having an embedding on the torus.

2 A Scheme for Large Arboricity Graphs

In this section we consider the family of n-node graphs whose arboricity is bounded by some function k(n).

Let G be such a graph, and w.l.o.g. assume that the node set is $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. By the Nash-Williams' Theorem, the edges of G can be decomposed into k = k(n) forests. Let assume that the forest decomposition is given, namely F_1, \ldots, F_k . Clearly, two nodes x and y are adjacent in G if and only if there is a forest F_i in which x is adjacent to y. We assume that each tree of each F_i is rooted at some arbitrary node.

As done in the scheme of Kannan, Naor and Rudich [KNR88] presented previously, we associate to each node x of G the set $P(x) = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \{y \text{ parent of } x \text{ in } F_i\}$. The label of x is set to L(x, G) = (x, P(x)). The adjacency decoder is defined by the following two membership queries: A((x, P(x)), (y, P(y))) = 1 if and only if $y \in P(x)$ or $x \in P(y)$.

Observe that $P(x) \subset \{1, ..., n\}$ and that $|P(x)| \leq k$. Let $B = \lceil \log \binom{n}{k} \rceil$ be the number of bits for coding a k-element subset of $\{1, ..., n\}$. The label L(x, G) = (x, P(x)) might be coded by a binary string of $\lceil \log n \rceil + B$ bits.

In [BM99], Brodnik and Munro have showed that every k-element subset of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ can be encoded into a data-structure (polynomially-time constructible) of $B+O(B/\log^{(3)} n)$ bits supporting constant time membership queries under a standard word-RAM computa-

tional model. So, the label of x can be encoded with $\log n + B + o(B)$ bits such that the adjacency decoder A can be computed in constant time. We have $(n/k)^k \leq \binom{n}{k} \leq (ne/k)^k$, thus $B = k \log(n/k) + O(k)$. On the other hand $B \geq \log n$ for every $k \notin \{0, n\}$, thus the label length for x is at most $k \log(n/k) + O(k + \log n) + o(k \log(n/k))$.

Disregarding the $o(k \log(n/k))$ term when n is large enough, we have:

Theorem 1 The family of bounded k-arboricity graphs have an asymptotic $k \log(n/k) + O(k + \log n)$ -adjacency labeling scheme with constant time decoder. Moreover, all the labels are polynomially-time constructible.

Actually the label length upper bound given in Theorem 1 is tight since:

Theorem 2 For all n and k, every f(n,k)-adjacency labeling scheme on the family of bounded k-arboricity graphs requires $f(n,k) > \frac{1}{2}k\log(n/k) - \frac{1}{2}k - 1$.

Proof. As seen in the introduction, since every graph is of maximum degree n-1, one can restrict our attention to arboricity $k \leq \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor + 1 = \lceil n/2 \rceil$. Let $p = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ and $q = \lceil n/2 \rceil$. We consider the family \mathcal{F} of labeled graphs defined as follows. The node set is $X \cup Y$ with $X = \{1, \ldots, p\}$ and $Y = \{p+1, \ldots, p+q\}$. We have $|X \cup Y| = p+q=n$, and $k \leq q \leq \lceil n/2 \rceil$. The edges link the nodes of X to the nodes of Y only (so the graphs of \mathcal{F} are bipartite) such a way that the degree of every node in X is exactly k.

Consider any $G \in \mathcal{F}$. We can partition the edges of G such that, for each $x \in X$, the k edges incident to x belong to a distinct forest. Since G is bipartite, every cycle in some forest would implies that two edges incident to a certain $x_0 \in X$ belongs to this cycle, contradicting the edge assignment of x_0 's edges. It follows that G is of arboricity bounded by k.

Every graph in \mathcal{F} has n nodes, and the number of graphs in \mathcal{F} is $|\mathcal{F}| = \binom{q}{k}^p$. Let (L,A) be any f(n,k)-adjacency labeling scheme on \mathcal{F} , for some function f(n,k). Consider the set $\mathcal{L} = \{(L(1,G),\ldots,L(n,G)) \mid G \in \mathcal{F}\}$. We have, $|\mathcal{L}| \geqslant |\mathcal{F}|$. Indeed, if $|\mathcal{L}| < |\mathcal{F}|$ then there exists two distinct graphs $G,H \in \mathcal{F}$ such that L(v,G) = L(v,H) for all node $v \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$, that is impossible. On the other hand, if all the labels are binary strings of length at most f(n,k) bits, then $|\mathcal{L}| \leqslant S^n$ where $S = \sum_{i=0}^{f(n,k)} 2^i = 2^{f(n,k)+1} - 1$ is the number of binary strings of length at most f(n,k). Thus we have

$$|\mathcal{F}| = {q \choose k}^p \leqslant |\mathcal{L}| < 2^{(f(n,k)+1)n}. \tag{1}$$

Because $\binom{q}{k} \geqslant (q/k)^k$, we have

$$\log \binom{q}{k}^p = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \log \binom{\lceil n/2 \rceil}{k} \geqslant \frac{1}{2} nk \log \left(\frac{n}{2k} \right) .$$

This implies that $f(n,k) > \frac{1}{2}k\log(n/k) - \frac{1}{2}k - 1$, that completes the proof.

3 An Improved Labeling Scheme

In this section we consider the family of n-node graphs whose arboricity is bounded by some constant k. The next result is more compact than Theorem 1.

Theorem 3 The family of bounded k-arboricity graphs have an asymptotic $k \log n$ -adjacency labeling scheme with a O(k) time decoder. Moreover, all the labels can be constructed in $O(kn^2 \log n)$ time, and in the case of planar graphs (k=3) the time can be reduced to O(n).

Proof. Let G be a graph of arboricity at most k. By the Nash-Williams' Theorem, the edges of G can be decomposed into k forests. Firstly, let assume that the forest decomposition is given, and let F_1, \ldots, F_k be these forests, each one spanning the nodes of G (so eventually F_i 's may contain zero degree node). Clearly, x and y are adjacent in G if and only if there is a forest F_i in which x and y are adjacent. For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, we apply the following construction: For each connected component of F_i pick an arbitrary node x_i^j and form a tree T_i rooted at a new node linked to all the x_i^j 's node of F_i .

In [AR01, KM01], it is showed that n-node trees have an $\log n + O(\sqrt{\log n})$ -adjacency labeling scheme with constant time decoder (under a standard word-RAM computational model). Moreover the time to construct the n labels is O(n). So, we associate such a binary label $\ell(x, T_i)$ to each node x of T_i as done in [AR01, KM01]. The length of the label is $\log |V(T_i)| + O(\sqrt{\log |V(T_i)|})$ that is $\log n + O(\sqrt{\log n})$ bits since T_i has n+1 nodes. Finally, to each node x of G we associate the k-tuple $L(x, G) = (\ell(x, T_1), \dots, \ell(x, T_k))$ of length $k \log n + O(k\sqrt{\log n})$. To test adjacency it suffices to test adjacency in each component of the labels. Observe that once the forests have been constructed it takes O(kn) time to set all the labels, and O(k) time to decode adjacency.

There is an $O(kn^2 \log n)$ time algorithm to find a decomposition of G into k forests [GW92]. For planar graphs (k=3) there is an $O(n \log n)$ time algorithm [GL98]. We can improved this result by the use of realizer of plane triangulation⁴, notion introduced by [Sch90] for grid embedding of planar graphs (a similar linear time algorithm can be founded in [Epp94]). A realizer of a plane triangulation is a particular partition of the interior edges in three sets of directed edges: T_1, T_2, T_3 . The three sets are computable in O(n) time [Epp94], and [Sch90] proved that each T_i is a directed tree including all interior nodes and exactly one exterior node distinct for each i. So, three edge-disjoint forests can be constructed in O(n) time for every plane triangulation. Since in linear time one can triangulate and embed on the plane any planar graph G, it follows that the partition of the edges of G into three forests can be done in O(n). This completes the proof.

⁴A plane triangulation is a planar graph embedded on the plane whose all its faces are triangles.

4 An Improved Scheme for k-Decomposable Graphs

A graph G is k-decomposable if either G has k+1 or fewer nodes or there is a subgraph S of G with at most k nodes such that G-S augmented by S with completely connected nodes is k-decomposable [AP87]. In [KNR88], it was showed that k-decomposable graphs have an asymptotic $k \log_{3/2} n \approx 1.7k \log n$ -bit labeling scheme, observing that S can be chosen such that G-S can be partitioned in two sets of nodes, A and B, with no edges linking A to B and with $|A|, |B| \leq 2|V(G)|/3$. The scheme we proposed here is based on a characterization of k-decomposable graphs in terms of partial k-trees and uses $k \log n$ -bit labels.

The family of k-trees is the set of all the graphs obtained as follows: a complete graph with k nodes is a k-tree, and a k-tree with n+1 nodes is obtained by adding a node connected with k nodes of a complete subgraph of an n-node k-tree [BP69]. This definition clearly implies that k-trees are k-degenerated, and thus have arboricity bounded by k. Actually, this bound is exact for every k-tree with n nodes and n large enough, since the number of edges is m = kn - k(k+1)/2, and thus the arboricity must be at least $m/(n-1) \ge k - O(k^2/n)$.

Arnborg and Proskurowski showed in [AP87] that k-decomposable graphs are exactly the families of partial k-trees, i.e., a subgraph of a k-tree with the same number of nodes. Thus if we are able to find in t time a k-tree H whose G is a partial k-tree then one can apply Theorem 3, and one can construct in O(kn+t) time a $k \log n$ -adjacency labeling scheme for G. Unfortunately, the embedding of G into a k-tree is NP-complete in general [ACP87], even for graphs of degree bounded by 9 [BT97]. However Bodlaender has constructed a linear time algorithm to embed, if possible, G into a k-tree [Bod96]. His algorithm has a hidden constant at least exponential in k. An alternative solution is to use the algorithm of Arnborg, Corneil, and Proskurowski [ACP87] that runs in $O(n^{k+2})$ time. The smallest k such that G is a partial k-tree is also called the tree-width of G (see [Bod98] for a survey).

Theorem 4 For every constant k, the family of partial k-trees (or the family of tree-width at most k graphs) has an asymptotic $k \log n$ -adjacency labeling scheme computable in linear time and supporting constant time queries. Moreover, for every $k \leq n/2$, every f(n,k)-adjacency labeling scheme on the family of partial k-trees requires $f(n,k) = \Omega(k + \log n)$.

Proof. The upper bound holds from the above discussion. Let us prove the lower bound. Consider the family \mathcal{K} of all subgraphs of a complete graphs of k+1 nodes. Every graph of \mathcal{K} is a partial k-tree, and its arboricity is at most k. By Theorem 2, every $f_1(n_1, k_1)$ -adjacency labeling scheme on \mathcal{K} requires $f_1(n_1, k_1) = \Omega(k_1 \log(n_1/k_1))$ that is $\Omega(k)$ for $n_1 = k + 1$ and $k_1 = k$. Consider now the family \mathcal{T} of labeled n-node trees, a sub-family of partial k-trees. By the Cayley's formula, $|\mathcal{T}| = n^{n-2}$. Using Eq. 1 in the proof of Theorem 2, for every $f_2(n, k)$ -adjacency labeling scheme on \mathcal{T} , we must have:

$$|\mathcal{T}| = n^{n-2} < 2^{(f_2(n,k)+1)n} \Rightarrow f_2(n,k) = \Omega(\log n).$$

Therefore, every f(n, k)-adjacency labeling scheme on the family of n-node k-trees requires $f(n, k) \ge \min\{f_1, f_2\} = \Omega(k + \log n)$ completing the proof.

An application of Theorem 4 is that series-parallel graphs (that are partial 2-trees), have a $2 \log n$ -adjacency labeling scheme computable in linear time.

5 Open Problem

We show in this note how to construct in linear time a $3 \log n$ -adjacency labeling scheme for planar graphs, and more generally a $k \log n$ -bit labeling for bounded k-arboricity graphs. To conclude we leave as an open problem the problem to prove or disprove that the family of graphs having an embedding on a torus (genus 1 graphs) support an asymptotic $3 \log n$ -adjacency labeling scheme. Theorem 3 gives only a $6 \log n$ -bit labeling since bounded g genus graphs are $\lfloor 6 + 12(g-1)/n \rfloor$ -degenerated (they have at most 3n + 6(g-1) edges). Thus, genus 1 graphs are 6-degenerated and of arboricity at most 6.

Acknowledgments: The author is thankful to André Raspaud for fruitful discussions about arboricity.

References

- [ACP87] Stefan Arnborg, Derek G. Corneil, and Andrzej Proskurowski. Complexity of finding embeddings in a k-tree. SIAM Journal Algebraic Discrete Math., 8:277–284, 1987.
- [AMZ97] Srinivasa R. Arikati, Anil Maheshwari, and Christos D. Zaroliagis. Efficient computation of implicit representations of sparse graphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 78:1–16, 1997.
- [AP87] Stefan Arnborg and Andrzej Proskurowski. Characterization and recognition of partial 3-trees. SIAM Journal Algebraic Discrete Math., 7(2):302–314, April 1987.
- [AR01] Stephen Alstrup and Theis Rauhe. Improved labeling scheme for ancestor queries. In 13th Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA). ACM-SIAM, January 2001. To appear.
- [BM99] Andrej Brodnik and J. Ian Munro. Membership in constant time and almost-minimum space. SIAM Journal on Computing, 28(5):1627–1640, May 1999.
- [Bod96] Hans Leo Bodlaender. A linear time algorithm for finding tree-decompositions of small treewidth. SIAM Journal on Computing, 25:1305–1317, 1996.

- [Bod98] Hans Leo Bodlaender. A partial k-arboretum of graphs with bounded treewidth. Theoretical Computer Science, 209(1-2):1-45, 1998.
- [BP69] L. W. Beineke and R. E. Pippert. The number of labeled k-dimensional trees. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, 6:200–205, 1969.
- [BT97] Hans Leo Bodlaender and Dimitrios M. Thilikos. Treewidth for graphs with small chordality. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 79:45–61, 1997.
- [CLL01] Yi-Ting Chiang, Ching-Chi Lin, and Hsueh-I Lu. Orderly spanning trees with applications to graph encoding and graph drawing. In 12th Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA), pages 506–515. ACM-SIAM, January 2001.
- [CMW⁺94] Boliong Chen, Makoto Matsumoto, Jian Fang Wang, Zhong Fu Zhang, and Jian Xun Zhang. A short proof of nash-williams' theorem for arboricity of a graph. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 10(1):27–28, 1994.
- [EC91] David Eppstein and Marek Chrobak. Planar orientations with low out-degree and compaction of adjacency. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 86(2):243–266, September 1991.
- [Epp94] David Eppstein. Arboricity and bipartite subgraph listing algorithms. *Information Processing Letters*, 51(4):207–211, 1994.
- [GL98] Roberto Grossi and Elena Lodi. Simple planar graph partition into three forests. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 84:121–132, 1998.
- [Gol80] Martin Charles Golumbic. Algorithmic Graph Theory and Perfect Graphs. Academic Press, Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Academic Press edition, 1980.
- [GP01] Cyril Gavoille and David Peleg. Compact and localized distributed data structures. Research Report RR-1261-01, LaBRI, University of Bordeaux, 351, cours de la Libération, 33405 Talence Cedex, France, August 2001. To appear in Journal of Distributed Computing for the PODC 20-Year Special Issue.
- [GW92] Harold N. Gabow and Herbert H. Westermann. Forests, frames, and games: algorithms for matroid sums and applications. *Algorithmica*, 7:465–497, 1992.
- [KM01] Haim Kaplan and Tova Milo. Short and simple labels for small distances and other functions. In 7th International Workshop on Algorithms and Data Structures (WADS), volume 2125 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 32–40. Springer, August 2001.
- [KNR88] Sampath Kannan, Moni Naor, and Steven Rudich. Implicit representation of graphs. In 20th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC), pages 334–343, Chicago, IL, May 1988.

- [MTV91] Gary L. Miller, S.-H. Teng, and S. A. Vavasis. A unified geometric approach to graph separators. In 32nd Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS), pages 538–547. IEEE Computer Society Press, 1991.
- [Sch90] Walter Schnyder. Embedding planar graphs on the grid. In 1st Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA), pages 138–148. ACM-SIAM, 1990.