Sparse Spanners vs. Compact Routing

Cyril Gavoille Christian Sommer

SPAA 2011

Objective: Great Routing Scheme

Good Routes

Send messages along shortest paths of a network

- $\ensuremath{\circledast}$ Use paths with low congestion
- * ...
- Efficient Routers
 - Routers store small routing tables only
 - Fast routing decisions
 - * ...

Compact Routing on a Graph G = (V, E)

- ✤ Tradeoff of max Table Size vs. Stretch
- route $(u, v) \leq \alpha \cdot d_G(u, v) + \beta$

Objective: Great Routing Scheme

- Routers store small routing tables
 - \rightsquigarrow partial information
 - \rightsquigarrow focus on important routes
 - \rightsquigarrow edges of a "good" subgraph \rightsquigarrow spanner

Spanners

Spanners have a variety of applications. They are used in space-efficient routing tables that guarantee almost shortest routes [1], [11], [12], [18], [21], [22],

Subgraphs that

- span the graph (cf. spanning tree)
- maintain certain properties
 here: approximate distances (others: cuts,...)

Stretch

♦ Graph G = (V, E), Spanner H = (V, E'), $E' \subseteq E$

• $d_H(u,v) \leq \alpha \cdot d_G(u,v) + \beta$

<u>Spanners</u>

	E' / V	$\alpha \cdot$	$+\beta$	
	<i>O</i> (<i>n</i>)	1		
[ADD ⁺ 93]	$\Theta(n^{1/2})$	3		
[ADD ⁺ 93]	$O(n^{1/k})$	2k - 1		
[ACIM99]	$\Theta(n^{1/2})$		2	
[EP04]	$O(\epsilon^{-1}n^{1/3})$	$1 + \epsilon$	4	
[BKMP05]	$O(n^{1/3})$		6	
[BKMP05]	$O(kn^{1/k})$	k	k-1	
[Woo06]	$\Omega(n^{1/k}/k)$		2k - 1	

n := |V|

 $\leq \alpha \cdot d_G(u,v) + \beta$

Spanners vs. Routing Schemes

	E' / V	$\alpha \cdot$	$+\beta$	table	
	<i>O</i> (<i>n</i>)	1		O(n)	
[ADD ⁺ 93]	$\Theta(n^{1/2})$	3		$O(n^{1/2})$	[TZ01]
[ADD ⁺ 93]	$\Theta(n^{1/k})$	O(k)		$O(n^{1/k})$	[TZ01]
[ACIM99]	$\Theta(n^{1/2})$		2		
[EP04]	$O(\epsilon^{-1}n^{1/3})$	$1 + \epsilon$	4		
[BKMP05]	$O(n^{1/3})$		6		
[BKMP05]	$O(kn^{1/k})$	k	k-1		
[Woo06]	$\Omega(n^{1/k}/k)$		2k - 1		

n := |V|

 $\leq \alpha \cdot d_G(u, v) + \beta$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Spanners vs. Routing Schemes

	E' / V	$\alpha \cdot$	$+\beta$	table	
	<i>O</i> (<i>n</i>)	1		<i>O</i> (<i>n</i>)	
[ADD ⁺ 93]	$\Theta(n^{1/2})$	3		$O(n^{1/2})$	[TZ01]
[ADD ⁺ 93]	$\Theta(n^{1/k})$	O(k)		$O(n^{1/k})$	[TZ01]
[ACIM99]	$\Theta(n^{1/2})$		2	???	
[EP04]	$O(\epsilon^{-1}n^{1/3})$	$1 + \epsilon$	4	???	
[BKMP05]	$O(n^{1/3})$		6	???	
[BKMP05]	$O(kn^{1/k})$	k	k-1		
[Woo06]	$\Omega(n^{1/k}/k)$		2k - 1		
-			β	$ ilde{O}({\it n}/eta)$	Folklore

n := |V|

 $\leq \alpha \cdot d_G(u,v) + \beta$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

 $ilde{O}(n/eta)$ routing tables, additive eta







 $\tilde{O}(n/\beta)$ routing tables, additive β $\beta/2$ -dominating set (size $O(n/\beta)$)



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ



・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

æ

Routing Schemes with Additive Stretch

Graph Class	$+\beta$	table	
General	β	$\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}({\it n}/eta)$	Folklore
Diameter Δ	2Δ	none	Folklore
$\ell(n)$ –Labels	6	$ ilde{O}(\ell(n)\sqrt{n})$	[BC06]
Interval	1	$O(\lg n)$	[BC06]
Circular-arc	1	$O(\lg n)$	[BC06]
Chordal	2	$o(\lg^3 n)$	[DG02]
Tree-length δ	$6\delta - 2$	$O(\delta \lg^2 n)$	[Dou04]
δ -Hyperbolic	$O(\delta \lg n)$	$O(\delta \lg^2 n)$	[CDE+11]

 $\leq \alpha \cdot d_G(u,v) + \beta$

Spanners vs. Routing Schemes

	E' / V	$lpha \cdot$	$+\beta$	table	
	<i>O</i> (<i>n</i>)	1		<i>O</i> (<i>n</i>)	
[ADD ⁺ 93]	$\Theta(n^{1/2})$	3		$O(n^{1/2})$	[TZ01]
[ADD+93]	$\Theta(n^{1/k})$	O(k)		$O(n^{1/k})$	[TZ01]
[ACIM99]	$\Theta(n^{1/2})$		2	??? Ω̃(n)	
[EP04]	$O(\epsilon^{-1}n^{1/3})$	$1 + \epsilon$	4		
[BKMP05]	$O(n^{1/3})$		6	??? Ω̃(n)	
[BKMP05]	$O(kn^{1/k})$	k	k-1		
[Woo06]	$\Omega(n^{1/k}/k)$		2k - 1	$ ilde{\Omega}(n/k^2)$	
			$egin{smallmed} eta\ eta\ eta \end{aligned}$	$ ilde{O}(n/eta) \ ilde{\Omega}(n/eta^2)$	Folklore NEW
n := V			,		

 $\leq \alpha \cdot d_G(u, v) + \beta$

<u>Outline</u>

Motivation and Related Work

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- Bad News: Lower Bound
- Good News: Upper Bound
- Conclusion

- Assuming polylog addresses
- Additive stretch β implies
- Table size $\tilde{\Omega}(n/\beta^2)$ (planar: \sqrt{n}/β)

Careful! β -neighborhood can help with additional information

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ







◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ★ □▶ = 三 の < ⊙

<u>Outline</u>

Motivation and Related Work

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- Bad News: Lower Bound
- ✤ Good News: Upper Bound
- Conclusion

Upper Bound (for Planar Graphs)

• Additive stretch
$$\beta = \tilde{O}(n^{1/3})$$

• Table size $\tilde{O}(n^{1/3})$

Tight with respect to lower bound for general graphs.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Lower bound for planar graphs: table size \sqrt{n}/β (implies $\beta = \tilde{\Omega}(n^{1/2})$ for [Tho04])

•\$

t

 β -dominating set, $\beta = n^{1/3}$









▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - のへで





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ







ж



э



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで





・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

≣ •**ગ**૧ભ

<u>Summary</u>

- Table size $n^{1/3}$ Additive stretch $n^{1/3}$
- for graphs with linear local tree-width (needed in <u>local</u> routing scheme)

- ✤ Open Problems
 - general graphs, make it tight ;-)
 - reduce header size
 - other points on tradeoff curve

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

<u>Outline</u>

Motivation and Related Work

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- Bad News: Lower Bound
- Good News: Upper Bound
- Conclusion

Proof that Routing is "harder" than Spanning

- Lower Bound: stretch β implies table size n/β^2 (trivial upper bound: n/β)
- Upper Bound: routing scheme for planar graphs with table size $n^{1/3}$ and additive stretch $n^{1/3}$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Additional Slides

✤ Illustration of lower bound for planar graphs





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ★ □▶ = 三 の < ⊙

Lower Bound (Planar) [AGGM06]



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Lower Bound (Planar)



Donald Aingworth, Chandra Chekuri, Piotr Indyk, and Rajeev Motwani.

Fast estimation of diameter and shortest paths (without matrix multiplication).

SIAM Journal on Computing, 28(4):1167–1181, 1999. Announced at SODA 1996.



Ingo Althöfer, Gautam Das, David P. Dobkin, Deborah Joseph, and José Soares.

On sparse spanners of weighted graphs.

Discrete & Computational Geometry, 9:81–100, 1993.



Ittai Abraham, Cyril Gavoille, Andrew V. Goldberg, and Dahlia Malkhi. Routing in networks with low doubling dimension.

In <u>26th IEEE International Conference on Distributed Computing Systems</u> (ICDCS 2006), 4-7 July 2006, Lisboa, Portugal, page 75, 2006.



Exact distance labelings yield additive-stretch compact routing schemes. In 20th International Symposium on Distributed Computing (DISC), volume 4167 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 339–354. Springer, September 2006.



Surender Baswana, Telikepalli Kavitha, Kurt Mehlhorn, and Seth Pettie. New constructions of (α, β) -spanners and purely additive spanners. In <u>SODA '05</u>: Proceedings of the sixteenth annual ACM-SIAM symposium on Discrete algorithms, pages 672–681, 2005.

Victor D. Chepoi, Feodor F. Dragan, Bertrand Estellon, Michel Habib, Yann Vaxès, and Yang Xiang.

Additive spanners and distance and routing labeling schemes for hyperbolic graphs.

Algorithmica, 2011.

to appear.

Yon Dourisboure and Cyril Gavoille.

Improved compact routing scheme for chordal graphs.

In Distributed Computing, 16th International Conference, DISC 2002, Toulouse, France, October 28-30, 2002 Proceedings, pages 252–264, 2002.

Yon Dourisboure.

Compact routing schemes for bounded tree-length graphs and for k-chordal graphs.

In Distributed Computing, 18th International Conference, DISC 2004, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, October 4-7, 2004, Proceedings, pages 365–378, 2004.

Michael L. Elkin and David Peleg.

SIAM Journal on Computing, 33(3):608-631, 2004.



Mikkel Thorup.

Compact oracles for reachability and approximate distances in planar digraphs.

Journal of the ACM, 51(6):993–1024, 2004. Announced at FOCS 2001.



Mikkel Thorup and Uri Zwick.

Compact routing schemes.

In ACM Symposium on Parallelism in Algorithms and Architectures, pages 1–10, 2001.

David P. Woodruff.

Lower bounds for additive spanners, emulators, and more.

In 47th Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS 2006), 21-24 October 2006, Berkeley, California, USA, Proceedings, pages 389–398, 2006.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <