Distance Labeling in Hyperbolic Graphs

Cyril Gavoille & Olivier Ly

LaBRI - Bordeaux University

FRANCE

ISAAC 2005



(日)





(日)



Distance Labeling Problem

- Preliminaries
- Exact Distance Labeling Scheme
- State of the Art
- Approximate Distance Labeling Scheme
- Our result



Hyperbolic Graphs

- A Bit of History
- Definition
- Distance Labeling in Hyperbolic Graphs
 A δ log *n*-Additive Error Labeling Schem
 Lower Bound

Cyril Gavoille & Olivier Ly



<<p>・

르



Distance Labeling Problem

- Preliminaries
- Exact Distance Labeling Scheme
- State of the Art
- Approximate Distance Labeling Scheme
- Our result



Hyperbolic Graphs

- A Bit of History
- Definition
- Distance Labeling in Hyperbolic Graphs
 - A δ log n-Additive Error Labeling Scheme
 - Lower Bound



-2

< 同 > < 回 > < 回 > -



Distance Labeling Problem

- Preliminaries
- Exact Distance Labeling Scheme
- State of the Art
- Approximate Distance Labeling Scheme
- Our result



Hyperbolic Graphs

- A Bit of History
- Definition
- Distance Labeling in Hyperbolic Graphs
 - A $\delta \log n$ -Additive Error Labeling Scheme
 - Lower Bound



Hyperbolic Graphs Distance Labeling in Hyperbolic Graphs Open Problems Preliminaries Exact Distance Labeling Scheme State of the Art Approximate Distance Labeling Scheme Our result

Plan



(日)

Hyperbolic Graphs Distance Labeling in Hyperbolic Graphs Open Problems

Preliminaries

Exact Distance Labeling Scheme State of the Art Approximate Distance Labeling Scheme Our result

We consider *undirected*, *unweighted*, *simple*, *connected* graphs defined by pairs of form (V, E) where V denotes the set of vertices and E the set of undirected edges.



Definition

The *distance* between two vertices *x* and *y* of a graph *G*:

 $d_G(x, y)$ = the length of a *shortest* path between *x* and *y* in *G*.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・

 $d_G(x,y)=3$

Hyperbolic Graphs Distance Labeling in Hyperbolic Graphs Open Problems Preliminaries Exact Distance Labeling Scheme State of the Art Approximate Distance Labeling Scheme Our result

Distributed data structure

Put on each vertex some information depending on itself and *G* such that one can compute $d_G(x, y)$ just from information put on x and y.

No centralized data structure to compute distances.

Let G be a graph (a network)

Goal

Minimize the quantity of information

・ロ・ ・ 四・ ・ 回・ ・ 回・



크



Hyperbolic Graphs Distance Labeling in Hyperbolic Graphs Open Problems Preliminaries Exact Distance Labeling Scheme State of the Art Approximate Distance Labeling Scheme Our result



Distributed data structure

Put on each vertex some information depending on itself and *G* such that one can compute $d_G(x, y)$ just from information put on *x* and *y*.

No centralized data structure to compute distances.

Let G be a graph (a network)

Goal

Minimize the quantity of information

(日)



Hyperbolic Graphs Distance Labeling in Hyperbolic Graphs Open Problems Preliminaries Exact Distance Labeling Scheme State of the Art Approximate Distance Labeling Scheme Our result



Distributed data structure

Put on each vertex some information depending on itself and *G* such that one can compute $d_G(x, y)$ just from information put on *x* and *y*.

No centralized data structure to compute distances.

Let G be a graph (a network)

Goal

Minimize the quantity of information

・ロト ・雪 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・



Hyperbolic Graphs Distance Labeling in Hyperbolic Graphs Open Problems Preliminaries Exact Distance Labeling Scheme State of the Art Approximate Distance Labeling Scheme Our result



Distributed data structure

Put on each vertex some information depending on itself and *G* such that one can compute $d_G(x, y)$ just from information put on *x* and *y*.

No centralized data structure to compute distances.

Let G be a graph (a network)

Goal

Minimize the quantity of information

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 回 ・ ・ 日 ・



크

Hyperbolic Graphs Distance Labeling in Hyperbolic Graphs Open Problems Preliminaries Exact Distance Labeling Scheme State of the Art Approximate Distance Labeling Scheme Our result





Preliminaries Exact Distance Labeling Scheme State of the Art Approximate Distance Labeling Scheme Our result

・ロ・ ・ 四・ ・ 回・ ・ 日・

-31

Definition (Distance Labeling Scheme – Peleg'00)

Let $\ensuremath{\mathfrak{F}}$ be a family of graphs.

A distance labeling scheme for \mathfrak{F} is a pair $\langle L, f \rangle$ of functions such that

• $\forall G \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $\forall x \in V_G$, L(x, G) is a binary string (the data attached to x).

•
$$\forall G \in \mathfrak{F} \text{ and } \forall x, y \in V_G$$
,

$$f(L(x,G),L(y,G))=d_G(x,y)$$

f computes the distance between *x* and *y* in *G* only from the data put on *x* and *y*.

Goal

Minimize
$$\max_{x} |L(x, G)|$$
 relatively to $|G|$

Hyperbolic Graphs Distance Labeling in Hyperbolic Graphs Open Problems Preliminaries Exact Distance Labeling Scheme State of the Art Approximate Distance Labeling Scheme Our result

\mathfrak{F}	Maximal label length	Lower bound		
Arbitrary graphs	O(n) optimal		Gavoille-Peleg-Pérennès-Raz'04	
Trees	O(log ² n)	optimal	Peleg'00, Alstrup-Bille-Rauhe'03	
Bounded tree-width graphs	$O(\log^2 n)$	O(log ² n) optimal Gavoil		
Distance-hereditary graphs	$O(\log^2 n)$ optimal		Gavoille-Paul'03	
Interval graphs	O(log n)	optimal	Gavoille-Paul'03	

- O(g(n)) means that the DLS assigns to vertices of any graph G labels of length at most O(g(|V_G|))
- "optimal" means that any other DLS suitable for the family of all the graphs has maximal-length labels in $\Omega(g(n))$.

-2

Hyperbolic Graphs Distance Labeling in Hyperbolic Graphs Open Problems Preliminaries Exact Distance Labeling Scheme State of the Art Approximate Distance Labeling Scheme Our result

Definition (Approximate Distance Labeling Scheme – Gavoille-Katz-Katz-Paul-Peleg'01)

Let $\ensuremath{\mathfrak{F}}$ be a family of graphs.

An (s, r)-approximate distance labeling scheme for \mathfrak{F} is a pair $\langle L, f \rangle$ of functions such that

- $\forall G \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $\forall x \in V_G$, L(x, G) is the information of $x \rightsquigarrow$ a binary string.
- $\forall G \in \mathfrak{F} \text{ and } \forall x, y \in V_G$,

 $d_G(x, y) \leq f(L(x, G), L(y, G)) \leq s \cdot d_G(x, y) + r$



Hyperbolic Graphs Distance Labeling in Hyperbolic Graphs Open Problems Preliminaries Exact Distance Labeling Scheme State of the Art Approximate Distance Labeling Scheme Our result

\mathfrak{F}	Approximation	Maximal label length	Lower bound	
Arbitrary graphs	(2 <i>k</i> – 1) mult.	$O(n^{1/k}\log^2 n)$	$\Omega(n^{1/k})$	T-Z'05
Trees	$(1 + 1 / \log n)$ mult.	$O(\log n \cdot \log \log n)$	optimal	G-K-K-P-P'01
Bounded Tree-Length	<i>O</i> (1) add.	$O(\log^2 n)$	optimal	G-K-K-P-P'01
α Doubling Dimension	$(1 + \varepsilon)$ mult.	$O(\varepsilon^{-O(\alpha)} \log n \cdot \log \log n)$	optimal	S.'05



(日)

Hyperbolic Graphs Distance Labeling in Hyperbolic Graphs Open Problems Preliminaries Exact Distance Labeling Scheme State of the Art Approximate Distance Labeling Scheme Our result

Theorem

The family of δ -hyperbolic graphs support a $\delta \log n$ -additive approximate DLS which uses $O(\log^2 n)$ bits per vertex.

Theorem (Lower Bound)

There exists a family \mathfrak{F} of graphs of bounded hyperbolicity such that for any (s, r)-approximated DLS $\langle L, f \rangle$ on it:

 $|L| = O(\log^a n) \Rightarrow s + r = \Omega(\log \log n)$



(日)

A Bit of History Definition

Plan



- Preliminaries
- Exact Distance Labeling Scheme
- State of the Art
- Approximate Distance Labeling Scheme
- Our result

2

Hyperbolic GraphsA Bit of History

- Definition
- Distance Labeling in Hyperbolic Graphs
 A δ log *n*-Additive Error Labeling Scheme
 Lower Bound



(日)

르

A Bit of History Definition

Lobachevski – beginning of XIXth century: Hyperbolic Geometry

→ independency of the 5th Euclid's Axiom.

Mostov 1968: Rigidity of Hyperbolic Manifolds

Gromov 1987: Hyperbolic Groups

Generalization of fundamental groups of hyperbolic manifolds

Strong algorithmic properties

- Hyperbolic groups are automatic [Cannon 1984]
- Isomorphism Problem is decidable [Sela 1995]

・ロ・ ・ 四・ ・ 回・ ・ 日・

A Bit of History Definition

Definition (Gromov 1987)

A metric space X is said to be δ -hyperbolic if and only if: $\forall x, y, w, z \in X$, the two larger of the three sums

> d(x, y) + d(w, z) d(x, w) + d(z, y)d(x, z) + d(w, y)

differs of at most δ

Rips characterization

A metric space X is said to be δ -hyperbolic if and only if for any geodesic triangle *xyz*,

 $[xz] \subset \delta$ -neigh $([xy] \cup [yz])$

Rips's $\delta \sim$ Gromov's δ .





(日)



크

A Bit of History Definition



The euclidian space is not hyperbolic



A Bit of History Definition



Trees are 0-hyperbolic



A Bit of History Definition



For any δ there exists a grid which is not δ -hyperbolic



A Bit of History Definition

Definition (Hyperbolic graphs)

A graph is said to be δ -hyperbolic if it is δ -hyperbolic as a metric space for the metric of shortest paths.

The *hyperbolicity* of a graph G is the minimum δ such that G is δ -hyperbolic.

Hyperbolicity: A Treelikeness Measure

- 0-hyperbolic graphs are exactly the block graphs [Brinkmann-Koolen-Moulton 2001]. In particular trees are 0-hyperbolic.
- Chordal graphs are 2-hyperbolic [BKM'2001]
- Hyp(G) = O(TL(G)) and $TL(G) = O(Hyp(G) \log |G|)$ [G.-L.'2004]
- For any δ , there exists a square grid which is not δ -hyperbolic.

르

A $\delta \log n$ -Additive Error Labeling Scheme Lower Bound

Plan



(日)

르

A $\delta \log n$ -Additive Error Labeling Scheme Lower Bound

Method

- Approximation of a δ -hyperbolic graph by a tree with $O(\delta \log n)$ additive error
- Exact distance labeling scheme on the tree (log² n bits)



(日)

A $\delta \log n$ -Additive Error Labeling Scheme Lower Bound

Lemma (Approximation by trees - Gromov 1987)

Let G be a δ -hyperbolic graph. Then there exists a tree T and a mapping $\sigma : V_G \to V_T$ such that

 $\forall x, y \in V_G: \ d_T(\sigma(x), \sigma(y)) \le d_G(x, y) \le d_T(\sigma(x), \sigma(y)) + 2\delta \log |G|$



A $\delta \log n$ -Additive Error Labeling Scheme Lower Bound

Definition (Gromov Product)

Let *G* be a graph and $x, y, r \in V_G$. We call *Gromov product* of *x* and *y* regarding *r* the following number:

$$(x|y)_r = \frac{1}{2}(d_G(x,r) + d_G(y,r) - d_G(x,y))$$

Lemma

G is δ -hyperbolic if and only if $\forall x, y, z \in V_G$: $(x|y)_r \ge \min\{(x|z)_r, (z|y)_r\} - \delta$.



(日)

A $\delta \log \textit{n-Additive Error Labeling Scheme}$ Lower Bound





A $\delta \log n$ -Additive Error Labeling Scheme Lower Bound



 $(x_0|x_d)_r \geq \min\{(x_0|x_{d/2})_r, (x_{d/2}|x_d)_r)\} - \delta$

 $(x_0|x_{d/2})_r \ge \min_{i < d/2} \{ (x_i|x_{i+1})_r \} - \delta \log d/2$ $(x_{d/2}|x_d)_r \ge \min_{i \ge d/2} \{ (x_i|x_{i+1})_r \} - \delta \log d/2$ $\Rightarrow (x_0|x_d)_r \ge \min\{ (x_i|x_{i+1})_r \} - \delta (\log d - 1) - \delta \log d/2$

 $\Rightarrow (x_0|x_d)_r \geq \min\{(x_i|x_{i+1})_r\} - \delta \log d$

 $\Rightarrow d \le 1/2 + 2\delta \log d \le 1/2 + 2\delta \log n$



A $\delta \log n$ -Additive Error Labeling Scheme Lower Bound



 $(x_0|x_d)_r \ge \min\{(x_0|x_{d/2})_r, (x_{d/2}|x_d)_r)\} - \delta$

 $(x_0|x_{d/2})_r \ge \min_{i < d/2} \{ (x_i|x_{i+1})_r \} - \delta \log d/2$

 $(x_{d/2}|x_d)_r \ge \min_{i>d/2}\{(x_i|x_{i+1})_r\} - \delta \log d/2$

 $\Rightarrow (x_0|x_d)_r \geq \min_i \{(x_i|x_{i+1})_r\} - \delta(\log d - 1) - \delta(\log d - 1) = \delta(\log d - 1) - \delta($

 $\Rightarrow (x_0|x_d)_r \geq \min\{(x_i|x_{i+1})_r\} - \delta \log d$

 $\Rightarrow d \le 1/2 + 2\delta \log d \le 1/2 + 2\delta \log n$

A $\delta \log n$ -Additive Error Labeling Scheme Lower Bound



 $(x_0|x_d)_r \ge \min\{(x_0|x_{d/2})_r, (x_{d/2}|x_d)_r)\} - \delta$

 $(x_0|x_{d/2})_r \ge \min_{i < d/2} \{ (x_i|x_{i+1})_r \} - \delta \log d/2$

 $(x_{d/2}|x_d)_r \ge \min_{i\ge d/2}\{(x_i|x_{i+1})_r\} - \delta \log d/2$

 $\Rightarrow (x_0|x_d)_r \geq \min\{(x_i|x_{i+1})_r\} - \delta(\log d - 1) - \delta(\log d$

 $\Rightarrow (x_0|x_d)_r \geq \min_i \{ (x_i|x_{i+1})_r \} - \delta \log d$

 $\Rightarrow d \le 1/2 + 2\delta \log d \le 1/2 + 2\delta \log n$

A $\delta \log \textit{n-Additive Error Labeling Scheme Lower Bound}$



$$(x_0|x_d)_r \ge \min\{(x_0|x_{d/2})_r, (x_{d/2}|x_d)_r)\} - \delta$$

$$(x_0|x_{d/2})_r \ge \min_{i < d/2} \{ (x_i|x_{i+1})_r \} - \delta \log d/2$$

$$(x_{d/2}|x_d)_r \ge \min_{i\ge d/2}\{(x_i|x_{i+1})_r\} - \delta \log d/2$$

$$\Rightarrow (x_0|x_d)_r \geq \min_i \{(x_i|x_{i+1})_r\} - \delta(\log d - 1) - \delta$$

 $\Rightarrow (x_0|x_d)_r \geq \min_i \{ (x_i|x_{i+1})_r \} - \delta \log d$

 $\Rightarrow d \le 1/2 + 2\delta \log d \le 1/2 + 2\delta \log n$



A $\delta \log \textit{n-Additive Error Labeling Scheme}$ Lower Bound



$$(x_0|x_d)_r \ge \min\{(x_0|x_{d/2})_r, (x_{d/2}|x_d)_r)\} - \delta$$

$$(x_0|x_{d/2})_r \ge \min_{i < d/2} \{ (x_i|x_{i+1})_r \} - \delta \log d/2$$

$$(x_{d/2}|x_d)_r \ge \min_{i\ge d/2}\{(x_i|x_{i+1})_r\} - \delta \log d/2$$

$$\Rightarrow (x_0|x_d)_r \geq \min_i \{(x_i|x_{i+1})_r\} - \delta(\log d - 1) - \delta$$

$$\Rightarrow (x_0|x_d)_r \geq \min_i \{(x_i|x_{i+1})_r\} - \delta \log d$$

 $\Rightarrow d \le 1/2 + 2\delta \log d \le 1/2 + 2\delta \log n$

(a)

A $\delta \log \textit{n-Additive Error Labeling Scheme}$ Lower Bound



$$(x_0|x_d)_r \ge \min\{(x_0|x_{d/2})_r, (x_{d/2}|x_d)_r)\} - \delta$$

$$(x_0|x_{d/2})_r \ge \min_{i < d/2} \{(x_i|x_{i+1})_r\} - \delta \log d/2$$

$$(x_{d/2}|x_d)_r \ge \min_{i\ge d/2}\{(x_i|x_{i+1})_r\} - \delta \log d/2$$

$$\Rightarrow (x_0|x_d)_r \geq \min_i \{(x_i|x_{i+1})_r\} - \delta(\log d - 1) - \delta$$

$$\Rightarrow (x_0|x_d)_r \geq \min_i \{(x_i|x_{i+1})_r\} - \delta \log d$$

$$\Rightarrow d \leq 1/2 + 2\delta \log d \leq 1/2 + 2\delta \log n$$

(a)

A $\delta \log n$ -Additive Error Labeling Scheme Lower Bound

Goal

Quantify the following principle:

If one does not store enough information, then one makes errors.

Theorem (Lower Bound)

There exists a family \mathfrak{F} of graphs of bounded hyperbolicity such that for any (s, r)-approximated DLS $\langle L, f \rangle$ on it:

 $L| = O(\log^a n) \Rightarrow s + r = \Omega(\log \log n)$



(日)

A $\delta \log n$ -Additive Error Labeling Scheme Lower Bound

Goal

Quantify the following principle:

If one does not store enough information, then one makes errors.

Theorem (Lower Bound)

There exists a family \mathfrak{F} of graphs of bounded hyperbolicity such that for any (s, r)-approximated DLS $\langle L, f \rangle$ on it:

 $|L| = O(\log^a n) \Rightarrow s + r = \Omega(\log \log n)$



(日)

A $\delta \log n$ -Additive Error Labeling Scheme Lower Bound

Lemma

There exists a family $\mathfrak{F}_{n,k}$ of graphs of bounded hyperbolicity such that for any (s, r)-approximated DLS $\langle L, f \rangle$ on it:

 $s + r < 2\log(4k/3 + 2) - 3 \Rightarrow |L| = \Omega((n/\log k)^{1/k})$

Lemma (Lazebnik-Ustimenko-Voldar 1995)

For any n there is a graph of girth 4k/3 + 3 with n vertices and a maximal number of edges $\Omega(n^{1+1/k})$.

(Related to Erdös's conjecture)

A $\delta \log n$ -Additive Error Labeling Scheme Lower Bound

Lemma

There exists a family $\mathfrak{F}_{n,k}$ of graphs of bounded hyperbolicity such that for any (s, r)-approximated DLS $\langle L, f \rangle$ on it:

 $s + r < 2\log(4k/3 + 2) - 3 \Rightarrow |L| = \Omega((n/\log k)^{1/k})$

Lemma (Lazebnik-Ustimenko-Voldar 1995)

For any n there is a graph of girth 4k/3 + 3 with n vertices and a maximal number of edges $\Omega(n^{1+1/k})$.

(Related to Erdös's conjecture)

A $\delta \log n$ -Additive Error Labeling Scheme Lower Bound

(日)

- $G_{n,k} \rightarrow a$ graph of girth 4k/3 + 3 with *n* vertices and $\Omega(n^{1+1/k})$ edges.
- 𝔅_{n,k} → the family of subgraphs of G_{n,k} with the same vertices and a subset of edges.
- $\rightarrow |\mathfrak{F}_{n,k}| = \Omega(2^{n^{1+1/k}}).$

Let $\langle L, F \rangle$ be an DLS on $\mathfrak{F}_{n,k}$ such that $|L| = o(n^{1/k})$

- For each $H \in \mathfrak{F}_{n,k}$ let us consider the word $\overline{L}(H) = L(1, H) \# L(2, H) \# \dots \# L(n, H)$
- $|\{\overline{L}(H)|H\in\mathfrak{F}_{n,k}\}|=o(2^{n^{1/k}})$
- $\rightarrow \exists H_1 \neq H_2$ such that $\overline{L}(H_1) = \overline{L}(H_2)$.
- let $(x, y) \in (E_{H_1} \setminus E_{H_2})$
- $\overline{L}(H_1) = \overline{L}(H_2) \Rightarrow x$ and y have the same labels in H_1 and $H_2 \Rightarrow$ the DLS gives the same distance between them for H_1 and for H_2 .
- But the girth of $G_{n,k}$ is 4k/3 + 3 thus $d_{H_2}(x, y) \ge 4k/3 + 2$.
- And $(x, y) \in E_{H_1} \Rightarrow d_{H_1}(x, y) = 1$

Finally Our DLS makes errors of at least 4k/3 + 2.



A $\delta \log n$ -Additive Error Labeling Scheme Lower Bound

(日)

- $G_{n,k} \rightarrow a$ graph of girth 4k/3 + 3 with *n* vertices and $\Omega(n^{1+1/k})$ edges.
- *𝔅*_{n,k} → the family of subgraphs of *G*_{n,k} with the same vertices and a subset of edges.
- $\rightarrow |\mathfrak{F}_{n,k}| = \Omega(2^{n^{1+1/k}}).$

Let $\langle L, F \rangle$ be an DLS on $\mathfrak{F}_{n,k}$ such that $|L| = o(n^{1/k})$.

- For each $H \in \mathfrak{F}_{n,k}$ let us consider the word $\overline{L}(H) = L(1, H) \# L(2, H) \# \dots \# L(n, H)$
- $|\{\overline{L}(H)|H\in\mathfrak{F}_{n,k}\}|=o(2^{n^{1/k}})$
- $\rightarrow \exists H_1 \neq H_2$ such that $\overline{L}(H_1) = \overline{L}(H_2)$.
- let $(x, y) \in (E_{H_1} \setminus E_{H_2})$
- $\overline{L}(H_1) = \overline{L}(H_2) \Rightarrow x$ and y have the same labels in H_1 and $H_2 \Rightarrow$ the DLS gives the same distance between them for H_1 and for H_2 .
- But the girth of $G_{n,k}$ is 4k/3 + 3 thus $d_{H_2}(x, y) \ge 4k/3 + 2$.
- And $(x, y) \in E_{H_1} \Rightarrow d_{H_1}(x, y) = 1$

Finally Our DLS makes errors of at least 4k/3 + 2.

A $\delta \log n$ -Additive Error Labeling Scheme Lower Bound

- $G_{n,k} \rightarrow a$ graph of girth 4k/3 + 3 with *n* vertices and $\Omega(n^{1+1/k})$ edges.
- *𝔅*_{n,k} → the family of subgraphs of *G*_{n,k} with the same vertices and a subset of edges.
- $\rightarrow |\mathfrak{F}_{n,k}| = \Omega(2^{n^{1+1/k}}).$

Let $\langle L, F \rangle$ be an DLS on $\mathfrak{F}_{n,k}$ such that $|L| = o(n^{1/k})$.

- For each $H \in \mathfrak{F}_{n,k}$ let us consider the word $\overline{L}(H) = L(1, H) \# L(2, H) \# \dots \# L(n, H)$
- $|\{\overline{L}(H)|H\in\mathfrak{F}_{n,k}\}|=o(2^{n^{1/k}})$
- $\rightarrow \exists H_1 \neq H_2$ such that $\overline{L}(H_1) = \overline{L}(H_2)$.
- let $(x, y) \in (E_{H_1} \setminus E_{H_2})$
- $\overline{L}(H_1) = \overline{L}(H_2) \Rightarrow x$ and y have the same labels in H_1 and $H_2 \Rightarrow$ the DLS gives the same distance between them for H_1 and for H_2 .
- But the girth of $G_{n,k}$ is 4k/3 + 3 thus $d_{H_2}(x, y) \ge 4k/3 + 2$.
- And $(x, y) \in E_{H_1} \Rightarrow d_{H_1}(x, y) = 1$

Finally Our DLS makes errors of at least 4k/3 + 2**.**

(日)

A $\delta \log n$ -Additive Error Labeling Scheme Lower Bound

- $G_{n,k} \rightarrow a$ graph of girth 4k/3 + 3 with *n* vertices and $\Omega(n^{1+1/k})$ edges.
- ℑ_{n,k} → the family of subgraphs of G_{n,k} with the same vertices and a subset of edges.
- $\rightarrow |\mathfrak{F}_{n,k}| = \Omega(2^{n^{1+1/k}}).$
- Let $\langle L, F \rangle$ be an DLS on $\mathfrak{F}_{n,k}$ such that $|L| = o(n^{1/k})$.
 - For each $H \in \mathfrak{F}_{n,k}$ let us consider the word $\overline{L}(H) = L(1, H) \# L(2, H) \# \dots \# L(n, H)$
 - $|\{\overline{L}(H)|H\in\mathfrak{F}_{n,k}\}|=o(2^{n^{1/k}})$
 - $\rightarrow \exists H_1 \neq H_2$ such that $\overline{L}(H_1) = \overline{L}(H_2)$.
 - let $(x, y) \in (E_{H_1} \setminus E_{H_2})$
 - $\overline{L}(H_1) = \overline{L}(H_2) \Rightarrow x$ and y have the same labels in H_1 and $H_2 \Rightarrow$ the DLS gives the same distance between them for H_1 and for H_2 .
 - But the girth of $G_{n,k}$ is 4k/3 + 3 thus $d_{H_2}(x, y) \ge 4k/3 + 2k$
 - And $(x, y) \in E_{H_1} \Rightarrow d_{H_1}(x, y) = 1$

Finally Our DLS makes errors of at least 4k/3 + 2**.**

4

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 回 ・ ・ 日 ・

A $\delta \log n$ -Additive Error Labeling Scheme Lower Bound

- $G_{n,k} \rightarrow a$ graph of girth 4k/3 + 3 with *n* vertices and $\Omega(n^{1+1/k})$ edges.
- ℑ_{n,k} → the family of subgraphs of G_{n,k} with the same vertices and a subset of edges.
- $\rightarrow |\mathfrak{F}_{n,k}| = \Omega(2^{n^{1+1/k}}).$
- Let $\langle L, F \rangle$ be an DLS on $\mathfrak{F}_{n,k}$ such that $|L| = o(n^{1/k})$.
 - For each $H \in \mathfrak{F}_{n,k}$ let us consider the word $\overline{L}(H) = L(1, H) \# L(2, H) \# \dots \# L(n, H)$
 - $|\{\overline{L}(H)|H\in\mathfrak{F}_{n,k}\}|=o(2^{n^{1/2}})$
 - $\rightarrow \exists H_1 \neq H_2$ such that $\overline{L}(H_1) = \overline{L}(H_2)$.
 - let $(x, y) \in (E_{H_1} \setminus E_{H_2})$
 - $\overline{L}(H_1) = \overline{L}(H_2) \Rightarrow x$ and y have the same labels in H_1 and $H_2 \Rightarrow$ the DLS gives the same distance between them for H_1 and for H_2 .
 - But the girth of $G_{n,k}$ is 4k/3 + 3 thus $d_{H_2}(x, y) \ge 4k/3 + 2k$
 - And $(x, y) \in E_{H_1} \Rightarrow d_{H_1}(x, y) = 1$

Finally Our DLS makes errors of at least 4k/3 + 2**.**

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 回 ・ ・ 日 ・

A $\delta \log n$ -Additive Error Labeling Scheme Lower Bound

(日)

- $G_{n,k} \rightarrow a$ graph of girth 4k/3 + 3 with *n* vertices and $\Omega(n^{1+1/k})$ edges.
- ℑ_{n,k} → the family of subgraphs of G_{n,k} with the same vertices and a subset of edges.
- $\rightarrow |\mathfrak{F}_{n,k}| = \Omega(2^{n^{1+1/k}}).$
- Let $\langle L, F \rangle$ be an DLS on $\mathfrak{F}_{n,k}$ such that $|L| = o(n^{1/k})$.
 - For each $H \in \mathfrak{F}_{n,k}$ let us consider the word $\overline{L}(H) = L(1, H) \# L(2, H) \# \dots \# L(n, H)$

•
$$|\{\overline{L}(H)|H \in \mathfrak{F}_{n,k}\}| = o(2^{n^{1/k}})$$

- $\rightarrow \exists H_1 \neq H_2$ such that $\overline{L}(H_1) = \overline{L}(H_2)$.
- let $(x, y) \in (E_{H_1} \setminus E_{H_2})$
- $\overline{L}(H_1) = \overline{L}(H_2) \Rightarrow x$ and y have the same labels in H_1 and $H_2 \Rightarrow$ the DLS gives the same distance between them for H_1 and for H_2 .
- But the girth of $G_{n,k}$ is 4k/3 + 3 thus $d_{H_2}(x, y) \ge 4k/3 + 2k$
- And $(x, y) \in E_{H_1} \Rightarrow d_{H_1}(x, y) = 1$

Finally Our DLS makes errors of at least 4k/3 + 2**.**

A $\delta \log n$ -Additive Error Labeling Scheme Lower Bound

- $G_{n,k} \rightarrow a$ graph of girth 4k/3 + 3 with *n* vertices and $\Omega(n^{1+1/k})$ edges.
- ℑ_{n,k} → the family of subgraphs of G_{n,k} with the same vertices and a subset of edges.
- $\rightarrow |\mathfrak{F}_{n,k}| = \Omega(2^{n^{1+1/k}}).$

Let $\langle L, F \rangle$ be an DLS on $\mathfrak{F}_{n,k}$ such that $|L| = o(n^{1/k})$.

• For each $H \in \mathfrak{F}_{n,k}$ let us consider the word $\overline{L}(H) = L(1, H) \# L(2, H) \# \dots \# L(n, H)$

•
$$|\{\overline{L}(H)|H \in \mathfrak{F}_{n,k}\}| = o(2^{n^{1/k}})$$

$$\rightarrow \exists H_1 \neq H_2$$
 such that $\overline{L}(H_1) = \overline{L}(H_2)$.

- let $(x, y) \in (E_{H_1} \setminus E_{H_2})$
- $\overline{L}(H_1) = \overline{L}(H_2) \Rightarrow x$ and y have the same labels in H_1 and $H_2 \Rightarrow$ the DLS gives the same distance between them for H_1 and for H_2 .
- But the girth of $G_{n,k}$ is 4k/3 + 3 thus $d_{H_2}(x, y) \ge 4k/3 + 2$.
- And $(x, y) \in E_{H_1} \Rightarrow d_{H_1}(x, y) = 1$

Finally Our DLS makes errors of at least 4k/3 + 2.

4

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 回 ・ ・ 日 ・

A $\delta \log n$ -Additive Error Labeling Scheme Lower Bound

- $G_{n,k} \rightarrow a$ graph of girth 4k/3 + 3 with *n* vertices and $\Omega(n^{1+1/k})$ edges.
- ℑ_{n,k} → the family of subgraphs of G_{n,k} with the same vertices and a subset of edges.
- $\rightarrow |\mathfrak{F}_{n,k}| = \Omega(2^{n^{1+1/k}}).$

Let $\langle L, F \rangle$ be an DLS on $\mathfrak{F}_{n,k}$ such that $|L| = o(n^{1/k})$.

• For each $H \in \mathfrak{F}_{n,k}$ let us consider the word $\overline{L}(H) = L(1, H) \# L(2, H) \# \dots \# L(n, H)$

•
$$|\{\overline{L}(H)|H \in \mathfrak{F}_{n,k}\}| = o(2^{n^{1/k}})$$

$$\rightarrow \exists H_1 \neq H_2$$
 such that $\overline{L}(H_1) = \overline{L}(H_2)$.

- let $(x, y) \in (E_{H_1} \setminus E_{H_2})$
- $\overline{L}(H_1) = \overline{L}(H_2) \Rightarrow x$ and y have the same labels in H_1 and $H_2 \Rightarrow$ the DLS gives the same distance between them for H_1 and for H_2 .
- But the girth of $G_{n,k}$ is 4k/3 + 3 thus $d_{H_2}(x, y) \ge 4k/3 + 2$.
- And $(x, y) \in E_{H_1} \Rightarrow d_{H_1}(x, y) = 1$

Finally Our DLS makes errors of at least 4k/3 + 2.

・ロ・ ・ 四・ ・ 回・ ・ 日・

A $\delta \log n$ -Additive Error Labeling Scheme Lower Bound

- $G_{n,k} \rightarrow a$ graph of girth 4k/3 + 3 with *n* vertices and $\Omega(n^{1+1/k})$ edges.
- ℑ_{n,k} → the family of subgraphs of G_{n,k} with the same vertices and a subset of edges.
- $\rightarrow |\mathfrak{F}_{n,k}| = \Omega(2^{n^{1+1/k}}).$

Let $\langle L, F \rangle$ be an DLS on $\mathfrak{F}_{n,k}$ such that $|L| = o(n^{1/k})$.

• For each $H \in \mathfrak{F}_{n,k}$ let us consider the word $\overline{L}(H) = L(1, H) \# L(2, H) \# \dots \# L(n, H)$

•
$$|\{\overline{L}(H)|H \in \mathfrak{F}_{n,k}\}| = o(2^{n^{1/k}})$$

$$\rightarrow \exists H_1 \neq H_2$$
 such that $\overline{L}(H_1) = \overline{L}(H_2)$.

- let $(x, y) \in (E_{H_1} \setminus E_{H_2})$
- $\overline{L}(H_1) = \overline{L}(H_2) \Rightarrow x$ and y have the same labels in H_1 and $H_2 \Rightarrow$ the DLS gives the same distance between them for H_1 and for H_2 .
- But the girth of $G_{n,k}$ is 4k/3 + 3 thus $d_{H_2}(x, y) \ge 4k/3 + 2$.
- And $(x, y) \in E_{H_1} \Rightarrow d_{H_1}(x, y) = 1$

Finally Our DLS makes errors of at least 4k/3 + 2.

A $\delta \log n$ -Additive Error Labeling Scheme Lower Bound

・ロ・ ・ 四・ ・ 回・ ・ 回・

-31

- $G_{n,k} \rightarrow a$ graph of girth 4k/3 + 3 with *n* vertices and $\Omega(n^{1+1/k})$ edges.
- ℑ_{n,k} → the family of subgraphs of G_{n,k} with the same vertices and a subset of edges.
- $\rightarrow |\mathfrak{F}_{n,k}| = \Omega(2^{n^{1+1/k}}).$

Let $\langle L, F \rangle$ be an DLS on $\mathfrak{F}_{n,k}$ such that $|L| = o(n^{1/k})$.

• For each $H \in \mathfrak{F}_{n,k}$ let us consider the word $\overline{L}(H) = L(1, H) \# L(2, H) \# \dots \# L(n, H)$

•
$$|\{\overline{L}(H)|H \in \mathfrak{F}_{n,k}\}| = o(2^{n^{1/k}})$$

$$\rightarrow \exists H_1 \neq H_2$$
 such that $\overline{L}(H_1) = \overline{L}(H_2)$.

- let $(x, y) \in (E_{H_1} \setminus E_{H_2})$
- $\overline{L}(H_1) = \overline{L}(H_2) \Rightarrow x$ and y have the same labels in H_1 and $H_2 \Rightarrow$ the DLS gives the same distance between them for H_1 and for H_2 .
- But the girth of $G_{n,k}$ is 4k/3 + 3 thus $d_{H_2}(x, y) \ge 4k/3 + 2$.
- And $(x, y) \in E_{H_1} \Rightarrow d_{H_1}(x, y) = 1$

Finally Our DLS makes errors of at least 4k/3 + 2**.**

A $\delta \log n$ -Additive Error Labeling Scheme Lower Bound

・ロ・ ・ 四・ ・ 回・ ・ 回・

-31

- $G_{n,k} \rightarrow a$ graph of girth 4k/3 + 3 with *n* vertices and $\Omega(n^{1+1/k})$ edges.
- ℑ_{n,k} → the family of subgraphs of G_{n,k} with the same vertices and a subset of edges.
- $\rightarrow |\mathfrak{F}_{n,k}| = \Omega(2^{n^{1+1/k}}).$

Let $\langle L, F \rangle$ be an DLS on $\mathfrak{F}_{n,k}$ such that $|L| = o(n^{1/k})$.

• For each $H \in \mathfrak{F}_{n,k}$ let us consider the word $\overline{L}(H) = L(1, H) \# L(2, H) \# \dots \# L(n, H)$

•
$$|\{\overline{L}(H)|H \in \mathfrak{F}_{n,k}\}| = o(2^{n^{1/k}})$$

$$\rightarrow \exists H_1 \neq H_2$$
 such that $\overline{L}(H_1) = \overline{L}(H_2)$.

- let $(x, y) \in (E_{H_1} \setminus E_{H_2})$
- $\overline{L}(H_1) = \overline{L}(H_2) \Rightarrow x$ and y have the same labels in H_1 and $H_2 \Rightarrow$ the DLS gives the same distance between them for H_1 and for H_2 .
- But the girth of $G_{n,k}$ is 4k/3 + 3 thus $d_{H_2}(x, y) \ge 4k/3 + 2$.
- And $(x, y) \in E_{H_1} \Rightarrow d_{H_1}(x, y) = 1$

Finally Our DLS makes errors of at least 4k/3 + 2**.**

A $\delta \log n$ -Additive Error Labeling Scheme Lower Bound

르

- $G_{n,k} \rightarrow a$ graph of girth 4k/3 + 3 with *n* vertices and $\Omega(n^{1+1/k})$ edges.
- ℑ_{n,k} → the family of subgraphs of G_{n,k} with the same vertices and a subset of edges.
- $\rightarrow |\mathfrak{F}_{n,k}| = \Omega(2^{n^{1+1/k}}).$

Let $\langle L, F \rangle$ be an DLS on $\mathfrak{F}_{n,k}$ such that $|L| = o(n^{1/k})$.

• For each $H \in \mathfrak{F}_{n,k}$ let us consider the word $\overline{L}(H) = L(1, H) \# L(2, H) \# \dots \# L(n, H)$

•
$$|\{\overline{L}(H)|H \in \mathfrak{F}_{n,k}\}| = o(2^{n^{1/k}})$$

$$\rightarrow \exists H_1 \neq H_2$$
 such that $\overline{L}(H_1) = \overline{L}(H_2)$.

- let $(x, y) \in (E_{H_1} \setminus E_{H_2})$
- $\overline{L}(H_1) = \overline{L}(H_2) \Rightarrow x$ and y have the same labels in H_1 and $H_2 \Rightarrow$ the DLS gives the same distance between them for H_1 and for H_2 .
- But the girth of $G_{n,k}$ is 4k/3 + 3 thus $d_{H_2}(x, y) \ge 4k/3 + 2$.

• And
$$(x, y) \in E_{H_1} \Rightarrow d_{H_1}(x, y) = 1$$

Finally Our DLS makes errors of at least 4k/3 + 2.

A $\delta \log n$ -Additive Error Labeling Scheme Lower Bound

All the distances > 5 are shorten by log







A $\delta \log n$ -Additive Error Labeling Scheme Lower Bound

All the distances > 5 are shorten by log







A $\delta \log n$ -Additive Error Labeling Scheme Lower Bound



All the distances > 5 are shorten by log

Hyperbolicity is bounded



A $\delta \log n$ -Additive Error Labeling Scheme Lower Bound



All the distances > 5 are shorten by log

Hyperbolicity is bounded



A $\delta \log n$ -Additive Error Labeling Scheme Lower Bound



All the distances > 5 are shorten by log

・ロ・ ・ 四・ ・ 回・ ・ 日・

Hyperbolicity is bounded



A $\delta \log n$ -Additive Error Labeling Scheme Lower Bound



All the distances > 5 are shorten by log

(日)



A $\delta \log n$ -Additive Error Labeling Scheme Lower Bound



All the distances > 5 are shorten by log



A $\delta \log n$ -Additive Error Labeling Scheme Lower Bound



All the distances > 5 are shorten by log



A $\delta \log n$ -Additive Error Labeling Scheme Lower Bound



All the distances > 5 are shorten by log



Plan





(日)

Fill the gap between upper and lower bound (somewhere in between $\log n$ and $\log \log n \dots$)

Collective tree spanners for hyperbolic graphs ?



(日)

Thank you for your attention

