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We consider undirected, unweighted, simple, connected graphs defined by pairs of form $(V, E)$ where $V$ denotes the set of vertices and $E$ the set of undirected edges.


## Definition

The distance between two vertices $x$ and $y$ of a graph $G$ :
$d_{G}(x, y)=$ the length of a shortest path between $x$ and $y$ in $G$.

$$
d_{G}(x, y)=3
$$
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## Let $G$ be a graph (a network)
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## Distributed data structure

Put on each vertex some information depending on itself and $G$ such that one can compute $d_{G}(x, y)$ just from information put on $x$ and $y$.

No centralized data structure to compute distances.

## Goal

Minimize the quantity of information

Let $G$ be a graph (a network)
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## Definition (Distance Labeling Scheme - Peleg'00)

Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a family of graphs.
A distance labeling scheme for $\mathfrak{F}$ is a pair $\langle L, f\rangle$ of functions such that

- $\forall G \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $\forall x \in V_{G}, L(x, G)$ is a binary string (the data attached to $x$ ).
- $\forall G \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $\forall x, y \in V_{G}$,

$$
f(L(x, G), L(y, G))=d_{G}(x, y)
$$

$f$ computes the distance between $x$ and $y$ in G only from the data put on $x$ and $y$.

## Goal

Minimize $\max _{x}|L(x, G)|$ relatively to $|G|$
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| $\mathfrak{F}$ | Maximal label length | Lower bound |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arbitrary graphs | $O(n)$ | optimal | Gavoille-Peleg-Pérennès-Raz'04 |
| Trees | $O\left(\log ^{2} n\right)$ | optimal | Peleg'00, Alstrup-Bille-Rauhe'03 |
| Bounded tree-width graphs | $O\left(\log ^{2} n\right)$ | optimal | Gavoille-Peleg-Pérennès-Raz'04 |
| Distance-hereditary graphs | $O\left(\log ^{2} n\right)$ | optimal | Gavoille-Paul'03 |
| Interval graphs | $O\left(\log ^{2} n\right)$ | optimal | Gavoille-Paul'03 |

- $O(g(n))$ means that the DLS assigns to vertices of any graph $G$ labels of length at most $O\left(g\left(\left|V_{G}\right|\right)\right)$
- "optimal" means that any other DLS suitable for the family of all the graphs has maximal-length labels in $\Omega(g(n))$.


## Definition (Approximate Distance Labeling Scheme -Gavoille-Katz-Katz-Paul-Peleg'01)

Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a family of graphs.
An ( $s, r$ )-approximate distance labeling scheme for $\mathfrak{F}$ is a pair $\langle L, f\rangle$ of functions such that

- $\forall G \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $\forall x \in V_{G}, L(x, G)$ is the information of $x \rightsquigarrow$ a binary string.
- $\forall G \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $\forall x, y \in V_{G}$,

$$
d_{G}(x, y) \leq f(L(x, G), L(y, G)) \leq s \cdot d_{G}(x, y)+r
$$
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| $\mathfrak{F}$ | Approximation | Maximal label length | Lower bound |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arbitrary graphs | $(2 k-1)$ mult. | $O\left(n^{1 / k} \log ^{2} n\right)$ | $\Omega\left(n^{1 / k}\right)$ | T-Z'05 |
| Trees | $(1+1 / \log n)$ mult. | $O(\log n \cdot \log \log n)$ | optimal | G-K-K-P-P'01 |
| Bounded Tree-Length | $O(1)$ add. | $O\left(\log ^{2} n\right)$ | optimal | G-K-K-P-P'01 |
| $\alpha$ Doubling Dimension | $(1+\varepsilon)$ mult. | $O\left(\varepsilon^{-O(\alpha)} \log n \cdot \log \log n\right)$ | optimal | S.'05 |
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## Theorem

The family of $\delta$-hyperbolic graphs support a $\delta \log n$-additive approximate DLS which uses $O\left(\log ^{2} n\right)$ bits per vertex.

## Theorem (Lower Bound)

There exists a family $\mathfrak{F}$ of graphs of bounded hyperbolicity such that for any ( $s, r$ )-approximated $D L S\langle L, f\rangle$ on it:

$$
|L|=O\left(\log ^{a} n\right) \Rightarrow s+r=\Omega(\log \log n)
$$

A Bit of History
Definition
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## Lobachevski - beginning of XIXth century: Hyperbolic Geometry

$\rightsquigarrow$ independency of the 5th Euclid's Axiom.

Mostov 1968: Rigidity of Hyperbolic Manifolds
Hyperbolic manifold are characterized by their fundamental groups.
$\rightsquigarrow$ Particular case of Poincarre's conjecture

## Gromov 1987: Hyperbolic Groups

Generalization of fundamental groups of hyperbolic manifolds

## Strong algorithmic properties

- Hyperbolic groups are automatic [Cannon 1984]
- Isomorphism Problem is decidable [Sela 1995]


## Distance Labeling Problem <br> Hyperbolic Graphs <br> Distance Labeling in Hyperbolic Graphs <br> Open Problems

## A Bit of History

## Definition

## Definition (Gromov 1987)

A metric space $X$ is said to be $\delta$-hyperbolic if and only if: $\forall x, y, w, z \in X$, the two larger of the three sums

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d(x, y)+d(w, z) \\
& d(x, w)+d(z, y) \\
& d(x, z)+d(w, y)
\end{aligned}
$$


differs of at most $\delta$

## Rips characterization

A metric space $X$ is said to be $\delta$-hyperbolic if and only if for any geodesic triangle $x y z$,

$$
[x z] \subset \delta-\operatorname{neigh}([x y] \cup[y z])
$$

Rips's $\delta \sim$ Gromov's $\delta$.



The euclidian space is not hyperbolic
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Trees are 0-hyperbolic

This part of [xz] is not in the $\delta$-neighbourhood $\delta$-neighbourhood
of $[x y] \cup[y z]$ of the two other segments


For any $\delta$ there exists a grid which is not $\delta$-hyperbolic

## Definition（Hyperbolic graphs）

A graph is said to be $\delta$－hyperbolic if it is $\delta$－hyperbolic as a metric space for the metric of shortest paths．
The hyperbolicity of a graph $G$ is the minimum $\delta$ such that $G$ is $\delta$－hyperbolic．

## Hyperbolicity：A Treelikeness Measure

－0－hyperbolic graphs are exactly the block graphs ［Brinkmann－Koolen－Moulton 2001］． In particular trees are 0－hyperbolic．
－Chordal graphs are 2－hyperbolic［BKM＇2001］
－ $\operatorname{Hyp}(G)=O(T L(G))$ and $T L(G)=O(\operatorname{Hyp}(G) \log |G|)$［G．－L．＇2004］
－For any $\delta$ ，there exists a square grid which is not $\delta$－hyperbolic．
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## Method

(1) Approximation of a $\delta$-hyperbolic graph by a tree with $O(\delta \log n)$ additive error
(2) Exact distance labeling scheme on the tree $\left(\log ^{2} n\right.$ bits)

A $\delta \log n$-Additive Error Labeling Scheme Lower Bound

## Lemma (Approximation by trees - Gromov 1987)

Let $G$ be a $\delta$-hyperbolic graph. Then there exists a tree $T$ and a mapping $\sigma: V_{G} \rightarrow V_{T}$ such that

$$
\forall x, y \in V_{G}: \quad d_{T}(\sigma(x), \sigma(y)) \leq d_{G}(x, y) \leq d_{T}(\sigma(x), \sigma(y))+2 \delta \log |G|
$$



## Definition (Gromov Product)

Let $G$ be a graph and $x, y, r \in V_{G}$. We call Gromov product of $x$ and $y$ regarding $r$ the following number:

$$
(x \mid y)_{r}=\frac{1}{2}\left(d_{G}(x, r)+d_{G}(y, r)-d_{G}(x, y)\right)
$$

## Lemma

$G$ is $\delta$-hyperbolic if and only if $\forall x, y, z \in V_{G}:(x \mid y)_{r} \geq \min \left\{(x \mid z)_{r},(z \mid y)_{r}\right\}-\delta$.
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## A $\delta \log n$-Additive Error Labeling Scheme

## Lower Bound

$$
\left.\left(x_{0} \mid x_{d}\right)_{r} \geq \min \left\{\left(x_{0} \mid x_{d / 2}\right)_{r},\left(x_{d / 2} \mid x_{d}\right)_{r}\right)\right\}-\delta
$$
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## A $\delta \log n$-Additive Error Labeling Scheme

## Lower Bound

$$
\left.\left(x_{0} \mid x_{d}\right)_{r} \geq \min \left\{\left(x_{0} \mid x_{d / 2}\right)_{r},\left(x_{d / 2} \mid x_{d}\right)_{r}\right)\right\}-\delta
$$

$$
\left(x_{0} \mid x_{d / 2}\right)_{r} \geq \min _{i<d / 2}\left\{\left(x_{i} \mid x_{i+1}\right)_{r}\right\}-\delta \log d / 2
$$
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## A $\delta \log n$-Additive Error Labeling Scheme

 Lower Bound$$
\left.\left(x_{0} \mid x_{d}\right)_{r} \geq \min \left\{\left(x_{0} \mid x_{d / 2}\right)_{r},\left(x_{d / 2} \mid x_{d}\right)_{r}\right)\right\}-\delta
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(x_{0} \mid x_{d / 2}\right)_{r} \geq \min _{i<d / 2}\left\{\left(x_{i} \mid x_{i+1}\right)_{r}\right\}-\delta \log d / 2 \\
& \left(x_{d / 2} \mid x_{d}\right)_{r} \geq \min _{i \geq d / 2}\left\{\left(x_{i} \mid x_{i+1}\right)_{r}\right\}-\delta \log d / 2
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\left.\left(x_{0} \mid x_{d}\right)_{r} \geq \min \left\{\left(x_{0} \mid x_{d / 2}\right)_{r},\left(x_{d / 2} \mid x_{d}\right)_{r}\right)\right\}-\delta
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(x_{0} \mid x_{d / 2}\right)_{r} \geq \min _{i<d / 2}\left\{\left(x_{i} \mid x_{i+1}\right)_{r}\right\}-\delta \log d / 2 \\
& \left(x_{d / 2} \mid x_{d}\right)_{r} \geq \min _{i \geq d / 2}\left\{\left(x_{i} \mid x_{i+1}\right)_{r}\right\}-\delta \log d / 2 \\
& \Rightarrow\left(x_{0} \mid x_{d}\right)_{r} \geq \min _{i}\left\{\left(x_{i} \mid x_{i+1}\right)_{r}\right\}-\delta(\log d-1)-\delta
\end{aligned}
$$
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\left.\left(x_{0} \mid x_{d}\right)_{r} \geq \min \left\{\left(x_{0} \mid x_{d / 2}\right)_{r},\left(x_{d / 2} \mid x_{d}\right)_{r}\right)\right\}-\delta
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(x_{0} \mid x_{d / 2}\right)_{r} \geq \min _{i<d / 2}\left\{\left(x_{i} \mid x_{i+1}\right)_{r}\right\}-\delta \log d / 2 \\
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$$
\left.\left(x_{0} \mid x_{d}\right)_{r} \geq \min \left\{\left(x_{0} \mid x_{d / 2}\right)_{r},\left(x_{d / 2} \mid x_{d}\right)_{r}\right)\right\}-\delta
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(x_{0} \mid x_{d / 2}\right)_{r} \geq \min _{i<d / 2}\left\{\left(x_{i} \mid x_{i+1}\right)_{r}\right\}-\delta \log d / 2 \\
& \left(x_{d / 2} \mid x_{d}\right)_{r} \geq \min _{i \geq d / 2}\left\{\left(x_{i} \mid x_{i+1}\right)_{r}\right\}-\delta \log d / 2 \\
& \Rightarrow\left(x_{0} \mid x_{d}\right)_{r} \geq \min _{i}\left\{\left(x_{i} \mid x_{i+1}\right)_{r}\right\}-\delta(\log d-1)-\delta \\
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& \Rightarrow d \leq 1 / 2+2 \delta \log d \leq 1 / 2+2 \delta \log n
\end{aligned}
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## Goal

Quantify the following principle:
If one does not store enough information, then one makes errors.
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## Theorem (Lower Bound)

There exists a family $\mathfrak{F}$ of graphs of bounded hyperbolicity such that for any $(s, r)$-approximated $D L S\langle L, f\rangle$ on it:

$$
|L|=O\left(\log ^{a} n\right) \Rightarrow s+r=\Omega(\log \log n)
$$

## Lemma

There exists a family $\mathfrak{F}_{n, k}$ of graphs of bounded hyperbolicity such that for any $(s, r)$-approximated $D L S\langle L, f\rangle$ on it:

$$
s+r<2 \log (4 k / 3+2)-3 \Rightarrow|L|=\Omega\left((n / \log k)^{1 / k}\right)
$$

Lemma (Lazebnik-Ustimenko-Voldar 1995)
For any $n$ there is a graph of girth $4 k / 3+3$ with $n$ vertices and a maximal
number of edges $\Omega(n$
(Related to Erdös's conjecture)
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## Lemma (Lazebnik-Ustimenko-Voldar 1995)

For any $n$ there is a graph of girth $4 k / 3+3$ with $n$ vertices and a maximal number of edges $\Omega\left(n^{1+1 / k}\right)$.
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- $G_{n, k} \rightsquigarrow$ a graph of girth $4 k / 3+3$ with $n$ vertices and $\Omega\left(n^{1+1 / k}\right)$ edges.
- $\mathfrak{F}_{n, k} \rightsquigarrow$ the family of subgraphs of $G_{n, k}$ with the same vertices and a subset of edges.
$\rightarrow\left|\mathfrak{F}_{n, k}\right|=\Omega\left(2^{n^{1+1 / k}}\right)$.
Let $\langle L, F\rangle$ be an DLS on $\mathfrak{F}_{n, k}$ such that $|L|=o\left(n^{1 / k}\right)$.
- For each $H \in \mathfrak{F}_{n, k}$ let us consider the word $\bar{L}(H)=L(1, H) \# L(2, H) \# \ldots \# L(n, H)$
- $\left|\left\{\bar{L}(H) \mid H \in \mathfrak{F}_{n, k}\right\}\right|=o\left(2^{n^{1 / k}}\right)$
$\rightarrow \exists H_{1} \neq H_{2}$ such that $\bar{L}\left(H_{1}\right)=\bar{L}\left(H_{2}\right)$.
- let $(x, y) \in\left(E_{H_{1}} \backslash E_{H_{2}}\right)$
- $\bar{L}\left(H_{1}\right)=\bar{L}\left(H_{2}\right) \Rightarrow x$ and $y$ have the same labels in $H_{1}$ and $H_{2} \Rightarrow$ the DLS gives the same distance between them for $H_{1}$ and for $H_{2}$.
- $G_{n, k} \rightsquigarrow$ a graph of girth $4 k / 3+3$ with $n$ vertices and $\Omega\left(n^{1+1 / k}\right)$ edges.
- $\mathfrak{F}_{n, k} \rightsquigarrow$ the family of subgraphs of $G_{n, k}$ with the same vertices and a subset of edges.
$\rightarrow\left|\mathfrak{F}_{n, k}\right|=\Omega\left(2^{n^{1+1 / k}}\right)$.
Let $\langle L, F\rangle$ be an DLS on $\mathfrak{F}_{n, k}$ such that $|L|=o\left(n^{1 / k}\right)$.
- For each $H \in \mathfrak{F}_{n, k}$ let us consider the word
$\bar{L}(H)=L(1, H) \# L(2, H) \# \ldots \# L(n, H)$
- $\left|\left\{\bar{L}(H) \mid H \in \mathfrak{F}_{n, k}\right\}\right|=o\left(2^{n^{1 / k}}\right)$
$\rightarrow \exists H_{1} \neq H_{2}$ such that $\bar{L}\left(H_{1}\right)=\bar{L}\left(H_{2}\right)$.
- let $(x, y) \in\left(E_{H_{1}} \backslash E_{H_{2}}\right)$
- $\bar{L}\left(H_{1}\right)=\bar{L}\left(H_{2}\right) \Rightarrow x$ and $y$ have the same labels in $H_{1}$ and $H_{2} \Rightarrow$ the DLS gives the same distance between them for $H_{1}$ and for $H_{2}$.
- But the girth of $G_{n, k}$ is $4 k / 3+3$ thus $d_{H_{2}}(x, y) \geq 4 k / 3+2$.
- $G_{n, k} \rightsquigarrow$ a graph of girth $4 k / 3+3$ with $n$ vertices and $\Omega\left(n^{1+1 / k}\right)$ edges.
- $\mathfrak{F}_{n, k} \rightsquigarrow$ the family of subgraphs of $G_{n, k}$ with the same vertices and a subset of edges.
$\rightarrow\left|\mathfrak{F}_{n, k}\right|=\Omega\left(2^{n^{1+1 / k}}\right)$.
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Finally Our DLS makes errors of at least $4 k / 3+2$.
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## Plan

(1) Distance Labeling Problem

- Preliminaries
- Exact Distance Labeling Scheme
- State of the Art
- Approximate Distance Labeling Scheme
- Our result
(2) Hyperbolic Graphs
- A Bit of History
- Definition
(3) Distance Labeling in Hyperbolic Graphs
- A $\delta \log n$-Additive Error Labeling Scheme
- Lower Bound
(4) Open Problems

Cyril Gavoille \& Olivier Ly

Fill the gap between upper and lower bound (somewhere in between $\log n$ and $\log \log n \ldots$...)

Collective tree spanners for hyperbolic graphs?

